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Three classes of Lie algebras exist, namely

- **semisimple**, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ such that it has no nonvanishing commuting ideals

\[ [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0 \implies \mathfrak{h} = 0. \]

All semisimple Lie algebras are well–known.

- **solvable**, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \ldots, \mathfrak{g}^{(k+1)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}]$ vanishes for all $k > K$ for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

- **Levi decomposable**, i.e. semidirect sum of the form

\[ \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{r}, \quad [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] = \mathfrak{l}, \quad [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}] \subset \mathfrak{r}, \quad [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}] \subset \mathfrak{r}, \]

where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a semisimple subalgebra and $\mathfrak{r}$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. its maximal solvable ideal.
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- **solvable**, i.e. \( g \) such that the sequence of ideals \( g^{(1)} = [g, g], \ldots, g^{(k+1)} = [g^{(k)}, g^{(k)}] \) vanishes for all \( k > K \) for some \( K \in \mathbb{N} \).

- **Levi decomposable**, i.e. semidirect sum of the form

\[
g = l \rtimes r, \quad [l, l] = l, \quad [r, r] \subsetneq r, \quad [l, r] \subset r, \quad (1)
\]

where \( l \) is a **semisimple** subalgebra and \( r \) is the radical of \( g \), i.e. its maximal solvable ideal.
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We note that by virtue of Jacobi identities $\tau$ is a representation space for $\mathfrak{l}$ and that $\mathfrak{l}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of the algebra of all derivations of $\tau$.

These observations put a rather stringent compatibility conditions on possible pairs of $\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}$ and can be employed in the construction of Levi decomposable algebras out of the classifications of semisimple and solvable ones. E.g. many solvable algebras do not have any semisimple subalgebra of derivations and hence cannot appear as a radical in a nontrivial Levi decomposition (see J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 505202).

BUT not all solvable Lie algebras are classified and therefore also the Levi decomposable algebras are not classified.
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We note that by virtue of Jacobi identities $\mathfrak{t}$ is a representation space for $\mathfrak{l}$ and that $\mathfrak{l}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of the algebra of all derivations of $\mathfrak{t}$.
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Remark: Indecomposable vs. decomposable Lie algebras

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable if it can be written as a direct sum of ideals. Such algebras should be explicitly decomposed into components that are further indecomposable

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \delta_{ij}\mathfrak{g}_i. \quad (2)$$

before any identification is attempted. From now on we shall implicitly assume that any Lie algebra encountered is indecomposable.
Lie algebra \( g \) is **decomposable** if it can be written as a direct sum of ideals. Such algebras should be explicitly decomposed into components that are further indecomposable

\[
g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_k, \quad [g_i, g_j] \subset \delta_{ij}g_i. \tag{2}
\]

before any identification is attempted. From now on we shall implicitly assume that any Lie algebra encountered is **indecomposable**.
No complete classification of solvable Lie algebras exists. There are two approaches to their partial classification: by **dimension**, or by **structure**.

The dimensional approach for real Lie algebras:

- **dimension 2 and 3**: Bianchi L 1918 *Lezioni sulla teoria dei gruppi continui finite di trasformazioni*, (Pisa: Enrico Spoerri Editore) p 550–557
No complete classification of solvable Lie algebras exists. There are two approaches to their partial classification: by dimension, or by structure.

The **dimensional approach** for real Lie algebras:

The classification of low–dimensional Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ was started earlier by S. Lie himself (Lie S and Engel F 1893 *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen III*, Leipzig: B.G. Teubner).

Some potentially incomplete classifications are known for solvable Lie algebras in dimension 7 and nilpotent algebras up to dimension 8 (E.N. Safiulina, M.P. Gong, Gr. Tsagas, A.R. Parry).

It seems to be neither feasible, nor fruitful to proceed by dimension in the classification of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ beyond $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 6$. It is however possible to proceed by structure, i.e. to classify solvable Lie algebras with the nilradicals of a given type.
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Structural classification of solvable Lie algebras


- and others in papers of R. Campoamor–Stursberg et al., L. Šnobl et al.; Y. Wang et al. . . .
Some basic concepts

Any solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ has a uniquely defined nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{s})$, i.e. maximal nilpotent ideal. Its dimension satisfies

$$\dim \text{NR}(\mathfrak{s}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{s}. \quad (3)$$

The derived algebra of a solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is contained in the nilradical, i.e.

$$[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}] \subseteq \text{NR}(\mathfrak{s}). \quad (4)$$

A derivation $D$ of a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a linear map $D : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that for any pair $x, y$ of elements of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)]. \quad (5)$$

If an element $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ exists such that $D = \text{ad}_z$, i.e. $D(x) = [z, x]$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the derivation $D$ is called inner, any other one is outer.
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We assume that the nilradical $\mathfrak{n}$, $\dim \mathfrak{n} = n$ is known. That is, in some basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ we know the Lie brackets

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_k N_{ij}^k e_k. \quad (6)$$

We wish to extend the nilpotent algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ to all possible indecomposable solvable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s}$ having $\mathfrak{n}$ as their nilradical. Thus, we add further elements $f_1, \ldots, f_q$ to the basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ which together will form a basis of $\mathfrak{s}$. It follows from (4) that

$$[f_a, e_i] = \sum_j (D_a)_i^j e_j, \quad 1 \leq a \leq q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$[f_a, f_b] = \sum_i \gamma_{ab}^i e_i, \quad 1 \leq a, b \leq q. \quad (7)$$
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Classification of solvable Lie algebras with the given nilradical
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We have

- Jacobi identities between \((f_a, e_i, e_j) \implies \text{operators } D_a \text{ are derivations of the nilradical } \mathfrak{n}\).
- Jacobi identities between \((f_a, f_b, e_i) \implies \gamma_{ab}^i \text{ satisfy}
\[
[D_a, D_b] = \sum_i \gamma_{ab}^i \text{ad}(e_i)|_\mathfrak{n}
\]  
  (8)

  i.e. \(\sum_i \gamma_{ab}^i e_i\) is determined up to element in the center \(C(\mathfrak{n})\) of \(\mathfrak{n}\)

- Jacobi identities between \((f_a, f_b, f_c) \implies \text{bilinear compatibility conditions on } \gamma_{ab}^i \text{ and } D_a\).

Since \(\mathfrak{n}\) is the maximal nilpotent ideal of \(\mathfrak{s}\), no nontrivial linear combination of \(D_a\) can be a nilpotent matrix, i.e. they are linearly nil–independent (and consequently also outer). By Eq. (8) \([D_a, D_b]\) must be inner derivations.
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Isomorphic Lie algebras with the given nilradical

The resulting Lie algebra is isomorphic to the original one if we

1. add any inner derivation to $D_a$, i.e. we consider outer derivations modulo inner derivations,

$$D'_a = D_a + \sum_{j=1}^{n} r^j_a \operatorname{ad}(e_j)|_n, \quad r^j_a \in \mathbb{F}. \quad (9)$$

2. perform a change of basis in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that the Lie brackets (6) are not changed,

$$D'_a = \Phi \circ D_a \circ \Phi^{-1}, \quad \Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}). \quad (10)$$

i.e. we consider only conjugacy classes of sets of outer derivations (modulo inner derivations)

3. change the basis in the space $\operatorname{span}\{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$.
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Solvable Lie algebras with Borel nilradicals
In this talk we shall concentrate on nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}$ that are isomorphic to the nilradicals of the Borel subalgebras of a complex simple Lie algebra (for the split real form see the paper J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012) 095202). Such nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ can be interpreted as the one consisting of all positive root spaces. We shall present general structural properties of all solvable extensions of $\mathfrak{n}$.

The motivation for such an investigation comes from the particular case of triangular nilradicals which are Borel nilradicals of simple Lie algebras $A_l = \mathfrak{sl}(l+1,\mathbb{F})$. 
In this talk we shall concentrate on nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}$ that are isomorphic to the nilradicals of the Borel subalgebras of a complex simple Lie algebra (for the split real form see the paper J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012) 095202). Such nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ can be interpreted as the one consisting of all positive root spaces. We shall present general structural properties of all solvable extensions of $\mathfrak{n}$.

The motivation for such an investigation comes from the particular case of triangular nilradicals which are Borel nilradicals of simple Lie algebras $A_l = \mathfrak{sl}(l + 1, \mathbb{F})$. 
Triangular nilradicals were investigated in Tremblay S and Winternitz P 1998 Solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals. *J. Phys. A* **31** 789–806 where the dimension and structure of their solvable extensions were studied using explicit matrix calculations.

We shall show that for any Borel nilradical the same results concerning the structure of its solvable extensions holds. This simultaneous treatment is made possible by the fact that all outer derivations of these nilradicals are known, due to Leger G F, Luks E M 1974 Cohomology of nilradicals of Borel subalgebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **195** 305–316.
Triangular nilradicals were investigated in Tremblay S and Winternitz P 1998 Solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals. *J. Phys. A* **31** 789–806 where the dimension and structure of their solvable extensions were studied using explicit matrix calculations.

We shall show that for any Borel nilradical the same results concerning the structure of its solvable extensions holds. This simultaneous treatment is made possible by the fact that all outer derivations of these nilradicals are known, due to Leger G F, Luks E M 1974 Cohomology of nilradicals of Borel subalgebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **195** 305–316.
Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ its Cartan subalgebra, $l = \text{rank} \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let us denote by $\Delta$ the set of all roots, by $\Delta^+$ the set of all positive roots and by $\Delta^S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ the set of simple roots. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ denote the root subspace of the root $\lambda$. Let $S_\beta$ denote the Weyl reflection with respect to the root $\beta$,

$$S_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha - 2\frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \beta, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Every semisimple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a unique (up to isomorphisms) maximal solvable subalgebra, its Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$. It contains the Cartan subalgebra and all positive root subspaces

$$\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 + (+ \{\mathfrak{g}_\lambda | \lambda \in \Delta^+\}).$$
Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ its Cartan subalgebra, $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let us denote by $\Delta$ the set of all roots, by $\Delta^+$ the set of all positive roots and by $\Delta^S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ the set of simple roots. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ denote the root subspace of the root $\lambda$. Let $S_\beta$ denote the Weyl reflection with respect to the root $\beta$,

$$S_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \beta, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$ 

Every semisimple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a unique (up to isomorphisms) maximal solvable subalgebra, its Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$. It contains the Cartan subalgebra and all positive root subspaces

$$\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \left(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda | \lambda \in \Delta^+\right).$$
The properties of root systems imply that the Borel subalgebra is indeed a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the nilradical

$$\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})) = \langle \mathfrak{g}_\lambda | \lambda \in \Delta^+ \rangle.$$ 

For the sake of brevity we shall call the nilpotent Lie algebra $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the Borel nilradical (although it is not the nilradical of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$).
Properties of Borel nilradicals

Let
\[ g_m = \hat{+} \{ g_\lambda \mid \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \geq m \}. \]

The vectors \( e_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^S \) generate the entire \( NR(b(g)) = \hat{+} \{ g_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Delta^+ \} \) through commutators
\[ [g_\lambda, g_\mu] = g_{\lambda+\mu} \quad \text{whenever} \quad \lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \in \Delta^+ \]
and this implies that the ideals in the lower central series of the nilradical \( NR(b(g)) \) of the Borel subalgebra are
\[ (NR(b(g)))^m = g_m. \]

The center \( z \) of \( NR(b(g)) \) is one–dimensional and is spanned by \( e_\lambda \) where \( \lambda \) is the highest root of \( g \), i.e. the only root such that no root \( \lambda + \alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+ \) exists. The center \( z \) coincides with the last nonvanishing ideal in the lower central series.
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All derivations of the nilradical \( n = NR(b(g)) \) were found by G. F. Leger and E. M. Luks and the result is as follows.

**Proposition**

Let \( g \) be a complex simple Lie algebra of rank \( l \), \( g_0 \) its Cartan subalgebra, \( \Delta^S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\} \) the set of simple roots and \( n = NR(b(g)) \). Then the algebra of derivations of the nilradical \( n = NR(b(g)) \) of the Borel subalgebra of a complex simple Lie algebra \( g \) satisfies

- \( \text{Der}(n) = \text{Out}(n) \oplus \text{Inn}(n) \),
- \( \dim \text{Out}(n) = 2l \),
- \( \text{Out}(n) = \text{span}\{D_i, \tilde{D}_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, l\} \) where the derivations \( D_i, \tilde{D}_i \) are defined below.
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Proposition (continued)

The derivations $D_i$ act diagonally in the basis of $\mathfrak{n}$ consisting of positive root vectors $e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$

$$D_i(e_\alpha) = m_i e_\alpha, \quad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m_j \alpha_j \in \Delta^+. $$

$\tilde{D}_i$ are nilpotent outer derivations acting on simple root vectors

$$\tilde{D}_i(e_\beta) = e_\gamma, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = S_{\alpha_i}(\lambda), \quad \text{if} \quad \beta = \alpha_i, \quad (11) \quad \text{if} \quad \beta = \alpha_j, \ j \neq i. $$

The action of $\tilde{D}_i$ on $e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^S$ follows from the definition of a derivation (5).
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The derivations $D_i$ act diagonally in the basis of $\mathfrak{n}$ consisting of positive root vectors $e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$

$$D_i(e_\alpha) = m_i e_\alpha, \quad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m_j \alpha_j \in \Delta^+. $$

$	ilde{D}_i$ are nilpotent outer derivations acting on simple root vectors

$$\tilde{D}_i(e_\beta) = e_\gamma, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = S_{\alpha_i}(\lambda), \quad \text{if} \ \beta = \alpha_i, \quad (11)$$

$$= 0, \quad \text{if} \ \beta = \alpha_j, \ j \neq i. $$

The action of $\tilde{D}_i$ on $e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^S$ follows from the definition of a derivation (5).
For the sake of brevity, we shall write $S_i(\lambda)$ instead of $S_{\alpha_i}(\lambda)$ and introduce nonnegative integer constants $s_i$

$$S_i(\lambda) = \lambda - s_i \alpha_i.$$ 

We notice that for $g = A_l$ only two constants $s_i$, namely $s_1$ and $s_l$, are nonvanishing and equal to one; for all other simple algebras only one $s_i$ is nonvanishing and turns out to be equal to 1 or 2.

It can be easily deduced that for any simple complex Lie algebra $g$ the derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ of the algebra $NR(b(g))$ give zero whenever they act on $e_\beta$, $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^S$. 
For the sake of brevity, we shall write $S_i(\lambda)$ instead of $S_{\alpha_i}(\lambda)$ and introduce nonnegative integer constants $s_i$

$$S_i(\lambda) = \lambda - s_i\alpha_i.$$ 

We notice that for $g = A_l$ only two constants $s_i$, namely $s_1$ and $s_l$, are nonvanishing and equal to one; for all other simple algebras only one $s_i$ is nonvanishing and turns out to be equal to 1 or 2.

It can be easily deduced that for any simple complex Lie algebra $g$ the derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(g))$ give zero whenever they act on $e_\beta$, $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^S$. 
For the sake of brevity, we shall write $S_i(\lambda)$ instead of $S_{\alpha_i}(\lambda)$ and introduce nonnegative integer constants $s_i$

$$S_i(\lambda) = \lambda - s_i\alpha_i.$$ 

We notice that for $g = A_l$ only two constants $s_i$, namely $s_1$ and $s_l$, are nonvanishing and equal to one; for all other simple algebras only one $s_i$ is nonvanishing and turns out to be equal to 1 or 2.

It can be easily deduced that for any simple complex Lie algebra $g$ the derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ of the algebra $NR(b(g))$ give zero whenever they act on $e_\beta$, $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^S$. 
Let us assume from now on that $l > 2$. Then we always have $S_i(\lambda) \notin \Delta^S$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and consequently

$$\tilde{D}_i \circ \tilde{D}_j(e_{\alpha_k}) = 0$$

(12)

for every $\alpha_k \in \Delta^S$. The Leibniz property (5) allows us to conclude that equation (12) must hold for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, i.e. we have

$$\tilde{D}_i \circ \tilde{D}_j = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, l.$$
Let us assume from now on that \( l > 2 \). Then we always have \( S_i(\lambda) \notin \Delta^S \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, l \) and consequently

\[
\tilde{D}_i \circ \tilde{D}_j(e_{\alpha_k}) = 0
\]  

(12)

for every \( \alpha_k \in \Delta^S \). The Leibniz property (5) allows us to conclude that equation (12) must hold for any \( \alpha \in \Delta^+ \), i.e. we have

\[
\tilde{D}_i \circ \tilde{D}_j = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, l.
\]
The derivations $D_i$ obviously commute among each other and act diagonally on $\tilde{D}_j$,

$$[D_i, \tilde{D}_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_j\}. \quad (13)$$

To conclude, under the assumption that $l$ is greater than 2, the $2l$ outer derivations $D_i, \tilde{D}_i$ span a subalgebra $\text{Out}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$ of the Lie algebra of all derivations $\text{Der}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$. This algebra can be further decomposed into a semidirect sum of an $l$–dimensional Abelian ideal spanned by the nilpotent derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ and an $l$–dimensional Abelian subalgebra spanned by $D_i$. 
The derivations $D_i$ obviously commute among each other and act diagonally on $\tilde{D}_j$,

$$[D_i, \tilde{D}_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_j\}. \quad (13)$$

To conclude, under the assumption that $l$ is greater than 2, the $2l$ outer derivations $D_i, \tilde{D}_i$ span a subalgebra $\text{Out}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$ of the Lie algebra of all derivations $\text{Der}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$. This algebra can be further decomposed into a semidirect sum of an $l$–dimensional Abelian ideal spanned by the nilpotent derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ and an $l$–dimensional Abelian subalgebra spanned by $D_i$. 
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The derivations $D_i$ obviously commute among each other and act diagonally on $\tilde{D}_j$,

$$[D_i, \tilde{D}_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_j\}. \quad (13)$$

To conclude, under the assumption that $l$ is greater than 2, the $2l$ outer derivations $D_i, \tilde{D}_i$ span a subalgebra $\text{Out}(\text{NR}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})))$ of the Lie algebra of all derivations $\text{Der}(\text{NR}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})))$. This algebra can be further decomposed into a semidirect sum of an $l$–dimensional Abelian ideal spanned by the nilpotent derivations $\tilde{D}_i$ and an $l$–dimensional Abelian subalgebra spanned by $D_i$. 
Let us now study the structure of any solvable Lie algebra with the nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$, $l = \text{rank} \, \mathfrak{g} > 2$.

From the fact that there are only $l$ linearly nilindependent derivations $D_i$ in $\text{Out}(\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})))$ we conclude that the maximal number of nonnilpotent basis elements in any solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with the nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is $l$. One algebra with this number of nonnilpotent basis elements is already known, namely the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Is it the only one?
Let us now study the structure of any solvable Lie algebra with the nilradical \( \text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})) \), \( l = \text{rank} \mathfrak{g} > 2 \).

From the fact that there are only \( l \) linearly nilindependent derivations \( D_i \) in \( \text{Out}(\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))) \) we conclude that the maximal number of nonnilpotent basis elements in any solvable Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{s} \) with the nilradical \( \text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})) \) is \( l \). One algebra with this number of nonnilpotent basis elements is already known, namely the Borel subalgebra \( \mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}) \) of the simple Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). Is it the only one?
Let us assume that we have a solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with the nilradical $\mathfrak{n} = \text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $l = \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}$ nonnilpotent basis elements $f_i$. They define $l$ outer linearly nilindependent derivations $\hat{D}^i$ such that $D^i = \text{ad}(f_i)|_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Using the transformation (9) we may choose the basis vectors $f_i$ so that

$$\hat{D}^i = D^i + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \omega^i_j \tilde{D}_j$$

where $D^i, \tilde{D}_j$ are the derivations defined before.
Let us assume that we have a solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with the nilradical $n = \text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $l = \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}$ nonnilpotent basis elements $f_i$. They define $l$ outer linearly nilindependent derivations $\hat{D}^i$ such that $\hat{D}^i = \text{ad}(f_i)|_n$. Using the transformation (9) we may choose the basis vectors $f_i$ so that

$$\hat{D}^i = D_i + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \omega_j^i \tilde{D}_j$$

where $D_i, \tilde{D}_j$ are the derivations defined before.
Because $\hat{D}^i$ lie in the subalgebra $\text{Out}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$ of $\text{Der}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$ and at the same time $[\hat{D}^i, \hat{D}^j] \in \text{Inn}(\text{NR}(b(g)))$ must hold, we find that

$$[\hat{D}^k, \hat{D}^j] = 0. \quad (14)$$

This requirement together with $[D_i, \tilde{D}_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_j\}$ in turn implies
Because \( \hat{D}^i \) lie in the subalgebra \( \text{Out}(\text{NR}(b(g))) \) of \( \text{Der}(\text{NR}(b(g))) \) and at the same time \([\hat{D}^i, \hat{D}^j] \in \text{Inn}(\text{NR}(b(g)))\) must hold, we find that

\[
[\hat{D}^k, \hat{D}^j] = 0. \tag{14}
\]

This requirement together with \([D_i, \tilde{D}_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_j\}\) in turn implies
Extensions of maximal dimension $q = l$

\[ 0 = \omega_i^j [D_k, \tilde{D}_i] + \omega_i^k [\tilde{D}_i, D_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_i\}. \]  

(15)

for every $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, l$ such that $k \neq j$ (no summation over $i$). For any given $i$ we can find $\tilde{i}$ such that $[\tilde{D}_i, D_{\tilde{i}}] \neq 0$. Consequently, the value of $\omega_i^{\tilde{i}}$ together with the root system specifying the Lie brackets $[D_k, \tilde{D}_i]$ completely determines all $\omega_i^j$ for $j \neq \tilde{i}$. Altogether, we still have one undetermined parameter $\omega_i^{\tilde{i}}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Next, we show that one can eliminate these parameters through a suitable choice of automorphism in equation (10).
Extensions of maximal dimension $q = l$

\[ 0 = \omega_i^j[D_k, \tilde{D}_i] + \omega_i^k[\tilde{D}_i, D_j] \in \text{span}\{\tilde{D}_i\}. \quad (15) \]

for every $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, l$ such that $k \neq j$ (no summation over $i$). For any given $i$ we can find $\tilde{i}$ such that $[\tilde{D}_i, D_{\tilde{i}}] \neq 0$. Consequently, the value of $\omega_i^j$ together with the root system specifying the Lie brackets $[D_k, \tilde{D}_i]$ completely determines all $\omega_i^j$ for $j \neq \tilde{i}$. Altogether, we still have one undetermined parameter $\omega_i^{\tilde{i}}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Next, we show that one can eliminate these parameters through a suitable choice of automorphism in equation (10).
The essence of the argument is that for each \( i = 1, \ldots, l \) we can find \( \hat{D}^i \) which transforms nontrivially under the conjugation by \( \exp(t_i \tilde{D}_i) \), i.e. the inner automorphism

\[
D_j \rightarrow D_j + t_i [\tilde{D}_i, D_j], \\
\tilde{D}_j \rightarrow \tilde{D}_j,
\]

(16)

\[
\hat{D}^j = D_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \omega^j_k \tilde{D}_k \rightarrow D_j + t_i [\tilde{D}_i, D_j] + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \omega^j_k \tilde{D}_k.
\]

due to \( [\tilde{D}_i, D_i] \neq 0 \). We use it to set \( \omega^j_i = 0 \) after the transformation. Equation (15) then implies that after the transformation all \( \omega^j_i = 0 \).
Extensions of maximal dimension $q = l$

Therefore we have found that our derivations $\hat{D}_j$ can be brought to the form

$$\hat{D}_j = D_j$$

through a conjugation by a suitable automorphism $\tilde{\Phi}$ of $\mathfrak{NR}(b(\mathfrak{g}))$. 
Next, we show that we can always accomplish

\[ [f_i, f_j] = 0. \] (17)

We have

\[ [f_i, f_j] = \gamma_{ij} e_\lambda, \quad \gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji} \]

which is the preimage of the relation \([\text{ad}(f_i)|_n, \text{ad}(f_j)|_n] = 0\). It can be shown that by a suitable transformation of the form

\[ f_i \rightarrow f_i + \tau_i e_\lambda \]

one can always make \( f_i, f_j \) satisfy Eq. (17).
Next, we show that we can always accomplish

\[ [f_i, f_j] = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

We have

\[ [f_i, f_j] = \gamma_{ij} e_\lambda, \hspace{0.5cm} \gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji} \]

which is the preimage of the relation \([\text{ad}(f_i)|_n, \text{ad}(f_j)|_n] = 0\). It can be shown that by a suitable transformation of the form

\[ f_i \rightarrow f_i + \tau_i e_\lambda \]

one can always make \( f_i, f_j \) satisfy Eq. (17).
Next, we show that we can always accomplish

$$[f_i, f_j] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

We have

$$[f_i, f_j] = \gamma_{ij} e_\lambda, \quad \gamma_{ij} = -\gamma_{ji}$$

which is the preimage of the relation $[\text{ad}(f_i)|_n, \text{ad}(f_j)|_n] = 0$. It can be shown that by a suitable transformation of the form

$$f_i \rightarrow f_i + \tau_i e_\lambda$$

one can always make $f_i, f_j$ satisfy Eq. (17).
To sum up, we have found that for any complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} > 2$ the maximal solvable Lie algebra with the nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is unique and isomorphic to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We notice that the same is true also when $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = 1$ or $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = 2$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{sl}(3), \mathfrak{so}(5)$ or $G_2$.

Thus, we have proven the following theorem:
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To sum up, we have found that for any complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} > 2$ the maximal solvable Lie algebra with the nilradical $\text{NR} (\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is unique and isomorphic to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We notice that the same is true also when $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = 1$ or $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = 2$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{sl}(3), \mathfrak{so}(5)$ or $G_2$.

Thus, we have proven the following theorem:
Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$ its Borel subalgebra and $\mathfrak{n} = \text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the nilradical of $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$. The solvable Lie algebra with the nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ of the maximal dimension $\dim \mathfrak{n} + \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ is unique and isomorphic to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. 
A similar analysis can be performed also for non–maximal solvable extensions. In this case we have derivations

$$\hat{D}^a = \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \sigma_j^a D_j + \omega_j^a \tilde{D}_j \right), \quad a = 1, \ldots, q$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

representing the elements $f_a$ in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$, $\hat{D}^a = \text{ad}(f_a)|_\mathfrak{n}$. The $q \times l$ matrix $\sigma = (\sigma_j^a)$ must have maximal rank, i.e. $q$, in view of the nilindependence of $\hat{D}^a$. However we can no longer set $\sigma_j^a$ equal to the Kronecker delta $\delta_j^a$ as was the case for $q = l$. This leads to cumbersome complications. Therefore, we shall only present the resulting theorems whose proofs can be found in our paper.
A similar analysis can be performed also for non–maximal solvable extensions. In this case we have derivations

$$\hat{D}^a = \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \sigma_j^a D_j + \omega_j^a \tilde{D}_j \right), \quad a = 1, \ldots, q \quad (18)$$

representing the elements $f_a$ in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$, $\hat{D}^a = \text{ad}(f_a)|_{\mathfrak{n}}$. The $q \times l$ matrix $\sigma = (\sigma_j^a)$ must have maximal rank, i.e. $q$, in view of the nilindependence of $\hat{D}^a$. However we can no longer set $\sigma_j^a$ equal to the Kronecker delta $\delta_j^a$ as was the case for $q = l$. This leads to cumbersome complications. Therefore, we shall only present the resulting theorems whose proofs can be found in our paper.
A similar analysis can be performed also for non–maximal solvable extensions. In this case we have derivations

\[ \hat{D}^a = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \sigma^a_j D_j + \omega^a_j \tilde{D}_j \right), \quad a = 1, \ldots, q \quad (18) \]

representing the elements \( f_a \) in the adjoint representation of \( s \) on \( n \), \( \hat{D}^a = \text{ad}(f_a)|_n \). The \( q \times l \) matrix \( \sigma = (\sigma^a_j) \) must have maximal rank, i.e. \( q \), in view of the nilindependence of \( \hat{D}^a \). However we can no longer set \( \sigma^a_j \) equal to the Kronecker delta \( \delta^a_j \) as was the case for \( q = l \). This leads to cumbersome complications. Therefore, we shall only present the resulting theorems whose proofs can be found in our paper.
Any solvable extension $s$ of the nilradical $NR(b(g))$ by $q$ non-nilpotent elements $f_a$, $a = 1, \ldots, q \leq \text{rank } g$ is defined by $q$ commuting derivations $\hat{D}^a$ and a constant $q \times q$ antisymmetric matrix $\gamma = (\gamma_{ab})$. The derivations $\hat{D}^a$ determine the Lie brackets

$$[f_a, e_\alpha] = \hat{D}^a(e_\alpha), \quad a = 1, \ldots, q, \quad \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

and take the form

$$\hat{D}^a = \text{ad}(f_a)|_n = \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \sigma_j^a D_j + \omega_j^a \tilde{D}_j \right), \quad a = 1, \ldots, q,$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_j^a)$, $a = 1, \ldots, q$, $j = 1, \ldots, l$ has the rank $q$. 
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Theorem (continued)

For any given value of $k$ all parameters $\omega_k^a$ are equal to zero when the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sigma_j^a \lambda_j - \sigma_k^a (1 + s_k) \neq 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

is satisfied for at least one $a \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. The condition (19) is always satisfied for at least $q$ values of the index $k$, i.e. there are at most $l - q$ values of $k$ such that some of the parameters $\omega_k^a$ are nonvanishing.
Theorem (continued)

The matrix $\gamma = (\gamma_{ab})$ defines the Lie brackets

$$[f_a, f_b] = \gamma_{ab} e_\lambda, \quad a, b = 1, \ldots, q.$$ 

When

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda^j \sigma_j^a \neq 0$$

holds for at least one $a \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, the constants $\gamma_{ab}$ are all equal to $0$, i.e.

$$[f_a, f_b] = 0.$$
We remark that the conditions in the theorem are sufficient, i.e. any set of constants $\sigma_j^a, \omega_j^a$ and $\gamma_{ab}$ satisfying the properties listed in the theorem gives rise to a solvable extension of the nilradical $NR(b(g))$. On the other hand, the description presented in the theorem is not unique, i.e. different choices of $\sigma_j^a, \omega_j^a$ and $\gamma_{ab}$ may lead to isomorphic algebras. As already noted, we may replace the derivations $\hat{D}^a$ by any linearly independent combination of them thus changing all the parameters $\sigma_j^a, \omega_j^a$ and $\gamma_{ab}$. Also we may employ the scaling automorphisms to change the values of $\omega_j^a$ and $\gamma_{ab}$.
By virtue of indecomposability of the Borel nilradicals, all solvable Lie algebras described in the theorem are indecomposable.

We notice that the statements of both theorems for the particular case $g = A_l$ are the same as the results proven by Tremblay and Winternitz.
By virtue of indecomposability of the Borel nilradicals, all solvable Lie algebras described in the theorem are indecomposable.

We notice that the statements of both theorems for the particular case $g = A_l$ are the same as the results proven by Tremblay and Winternitz.
Dimension $n_{NR} + 1$ solvable extensions of the Borel nilradicals

**Theorem**

Any solvable extension of the nilradical $NR(\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{g}))$ by one nonnilpotent element is up to isomorphism defined by a single derivation

$$\hat{D} = \text{ad}(f_1)|_n = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left( \sigma_j D_j + \omega_j \tilde{D}_j \right)$$

chosen so that the first nonvanishing parameter $\sigma_j$ is equal to one. $\omega_k$ vanishes whenever $\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sigma_j \lambda_j - \sigma_k (1 + s_k) \neq 0$. At most $l - 1$ parameters $\omega_k$ are nonvanishing. They are all equal to 1 over the field of complex numbers. Over the field of real numbers they are equal to $\pm 1$ and all parameters $\omega_k$ with $s_k = 0$ have the same sign.
Conclusions

We have briefly reviewed our current knowledge concerning the classification of Lie algebras.

We have introduced the structure of Borel nilradicals and their derivations.

We have shown that a solvable extension of a given Borel nilradical of maximal dimension is unique and coincides with the corresponding Borel subalgebra.

We have reviewed the results for the non-maximal case.
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Conclusions

- We have briefly reviewed our current knowledge concerning the classification of Lie algebras.
- We have introduced the structure of Borel nilradicals and their derivations.
- We have shown that a solvable extension of a given Borel nilradical of maximal dimension is unique and coincides with the corresponding Borel subalgebra.
- We have reviewed the results for the non–maximal case.
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