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## Geometry \& spectrum in Dirichlet tubes

Recall first some well-known facts:
Given an open, bounded and connected $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ consider Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega \times \mathbb{R}}$ in the straight tube $\omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Trivially, the spectrum is a.c. and equal to $\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)$ with the threshold $E_{1}:=\inf \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}\right)$
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Recall first some well-known facts:
Given an open, bounded and connected $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ consider Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega \times \mathbb{R}}$ in the straight tube $\omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Trivially, the spectrum is a.c. and equal to $\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)$ with the threshold $E_{1}:=\inf \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}\right)$

On the other hand, local geometric perturbations such as

- a sharp break or several breaks
- a smooth bend with asymptotically vanishing curvature
- a local tube protrusion
give rise to a non-empty discrete spectrum, i.e. isolated eigenvalues below $E_{1}$
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- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ always binds
- in contrast, in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we need Tang condition: $\omega$ rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with angular velocity $\dot{\theta}$ equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube). Similarly for $d>3$ [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics
- thin-tube asymptotics
- Lieb-Thirring-type inequalities
- many-body effects
- in addition, there are results about scattering, resonances, periodically curved tubes, etc.


## Twisted straight tubes

The Tang condition does not appear here by a chance. To see that, look at a straight twisted tube. We start with some preliminaries:

## Twisted straight tubes

The Tang condition does not appear here by a chance. To see that, look at a straight twisted tube. We start with some preliminaries:
Given $\omega$ described above and a differentiable $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we use $s \in \mathbb{R}, t:=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \in \omega$ to define map $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{R} \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ by

$$
\mathcal{L}(s, t)=\left(s, t_{2} \cos \theta(s)+t_{3} \sin \theta(s), t_{3} \cos \theta(s)-t_{2} \sin \theta(s)\right)
$$

The image $\Omega:=\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ is a tube in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which is twisted unless the function $\theta$ is constant.
We are interested in Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^{2}(\Omega)$, i.e. the s -a operator associated with the closed quadratic form

$$
Q[\psi]:=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t, \quad \forall \psi \in D(Q)=\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

## An alternative expression

To any radial vector $t \equiv\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we associate the normal one, $\tau(t):=\left(t_{3},-t_{2}\right)$ and use it to introduce the angular-derivative operator

$$
\partial_{\tau}:=t_{3} \partial_{2}-t_{2} \partial_{3}
$$

Given a bounded $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we consider the self-adjoint operator $L_{\sigma}$ associated with the quadratic form

$$
l_{\sigma}[\psi]:=\left\|\partial_{1} \psi-\sigma \partial_{\tau} \psi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\partial_{2} \psi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\partial_{3} \psi\right\|^{2}
$$

with $\psi \in D\left(l_{\sigma}\right):=\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$
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$$
\partial_{\tau}:=t_{3} \partial_{2}-t_{2} \partial_{3}
$$

Given a bounded $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we consider the self-adjoint operator $L_{\sigma}$ associated with the quadratic form

$$
l_{\sigma}[\psi]:=\left\|\partial_{1} \psi-\sigma \partial_{\tau} \psi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\partial_{2} \psi\right\|^{2}+\left\|\partial_{3} \psi\right\|^{2}
$$

with $\psi \in D\left(l_{\sigma}\right):=\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$
Choosing now, in particular, $\sigma=\dot{\theta}$ one can check by a straightforward calculation, using natural coordinate transformation, that this operator is unitarily equivalent to the Dirichlet Laplacian introduced above
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## A Hardy-type inequality

If $\sigma=0$, of course, $L_{0}$ is Dirichlet Laplacian in the straight tube. Also the case of a tube with circular $\omega$ centered at the origin is trivial. In all the other situations we have the following result:

Theorem [Ekholm-Kovařík-Krejčiřík'05]: Let $\omega$ be a bounded open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is not rotationally invariant. Let $\sigma$ be a nonzero compactly supported continuous function with bounded derivatives. Then for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ and $s_{0}$ such that $\sigma\left(s_{0}\right) \neq 0$ we have

$$
l_{\sigma}[\psi]-E_{1}\|\psi\|^{2} \geq c \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega} \frac{|\psi(s, t)|^{2}}{1+\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t
$$

with $c>0$ is independent of $\psi$ but depending on $s_{0}$, $\sigma$ and $\omega$.

## A Hardy-type inequality, continued

The inequality is proved in two steps: first one derives a "local" inequality for the operator $L_{\sigma}$ over a finite piece of the tube where $\sigma$ is nonzero; then the local result is "smeared out" by means of a classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality.

## A Hardy-type inequality, continued

The inequality is proved in two steps: first one derives a "local" inequality for the operator $L_{\sigma}$ over a finite piece of the tube where $\sigma$ is nonzero; then the local result is "smeared out" by means of a classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality.

Corollary [EHK'05]: Let $\Omega$ be a tube of non-circular cross section which is locally twisted. Then the spectrum $\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)$ of $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}$ is stable under sufficiently small bends.
Remark: In a similar way one can check spectral stability under other weak enough (attractive) perturbations (potentials, protrusions)
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We assumed above that $\dot{\theta}$ has a compact support so that $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right)=E_{1}=\inf \sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\mathbb{R} \times \omega}\right)$

This may not be true if the twist is infinitely extended; an example is a screw-shaped tube corresponding to a linear $\theta$ : we fix a positive constant $\beta_{0}$ and define $\Omega_{0}$ by

$$
\Omega_{0}:=\mathcal{L}_{0}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega),
$$

where
$\mathcal{L}_{0}(s, t):=\left(s, t_{2} \cos \left(\beta_{0} s\right)+t_{3} \sin \left(\beta_{0} s\right), t_{3} \cos \left(\beta_{0} s\right)-t_{2} \sin \left(\beta_{0} s\right)\right)$.
We will take $\Omega_{0}$ as an unperturbed system and conjecture that a local slowndown of the twisting acts as effective attractive interaction which can give rise to bound states

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$

We use again the unitary equivalence above, this time with uniformly rotating coordinate frame, in which $H_{0}:=-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega_{0}}$ acts on its domain in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ as

$$
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## The spectrum of $H_{0}$

We use again the unitary equivalence above, this time with uniformly rotating coordinate frame, in which $H_{0}:=-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega_{0}}$ acts on its domain in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ as

$$
H_{0}=-\partial_{t_{2}}^{2}-\partial_{t_{3}}^{2}+\left(-i \partial_{s}-i \beta_{0}\left(t_{2} \partial_{t_{3}}-t_{3} \partial_{t_{2}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Since $\beta_{0}$ is independent of $s$ we are able to employ a partial Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{s} \psi\right)(p, t)=\hat{\psi}(p, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i p s} \psi(s, t) \mathrm{d} s
$$

so for a suitably regular $\psi$ we can rewrite the form as

$$
Q_{0}[\hat{\psi}]=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega}\left|\nabla_{t} \hat{\psi}\right|^{2}+\left|i p \hat{\psi}+\beta_{0} \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} p \mathrm{~d} t
$$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Since $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ extends to a unitary operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$, the operator $H_{0}$ is equivalent to $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} h(p) \mathrm{d} p$ with the fibre

$$
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on $L^{2}(\omega)$ subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\partial \omega$.
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Since $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ extends to a unitary operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$, the operator $H_{0}$ is equivalent to $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} h(p) \mathrm{d} p$ with the fibre

$$
h(p)=-\partial_{t_{2}}^{2}-\partial_{t_{3}}^{2}+\left(p-i \beta_{0}\left(t_{2} \partial_{t_{3}}-t_{3} \partial_{t_{2}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

on $L^{2}(\omega)$ subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\partial \omega$. Using polar coordinates $(r, \alpha)$ on $\omega$ we rewrite $h(p)$ as

$$
h(p)=-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}+\left(p-i \beta_{0} \partial_{\alpha}\right)^{2} .
$$

Since $h(p)$ is a sum of $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}$ and a positive perturbation, by minimax principle its spectrum is purely discrete. Let us denote the eigenvalues of $h(p)$ by $\epsilon_{n}(p)$ and the respective eigenfunctions by $\psi_{n}(p)$, i.e.

$$
h(p) \psi_{n}(p)=\epsilon_{n}(p) \psi_{n}(p)
$$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Lemma: $\epsilon_{n}(\cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a real-analytic function of $p$ and

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \pm \infty} \epsilon_{n}(p) \rightarrow \infty
$$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Lemma: $\epsilon_{n}(\cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a real-analytic function of $p$ and

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \pm \infty} \epsilon_{n}(p) \rightarrow \infty
$$

Sketch of proof: The form associated with $h(0)$ defined on $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ is non-negative and closed, so $h(0)$ is self-adjoint on its natural domain denoted as $D(0)$. We formally expand the

$$
h(p)=h(0)+p^{2}-2 i p \beta_{0} \partial_{\alpha}
$$

It is easy to check that $i \partial_{\alpha}$ is $h(0)$-bounded with the relative bound zero, so the domain of $h(p)$ coincides with $D(0)$ and $h(\cdot) \phi$ is analytic for every $\phi \in D(0)$. Then by [Kato'66] we have a type A operator family, and consequently, all the $\epsilon_{n}(\cdot)$ are real-analytic functions of $p$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Put next $a:=\sup _{t \in \omega}|t|$. For any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$ we have

$$
\left|2 p \beta_{0} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi\right| \leq p^{2} \frac{\beta_{0}^{2}}{\beta_{0}^{2}+a^{-2}}|\varphi|^{2}+\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+a^{-2}\right)\left|\partial_{\alpha} \varphi\right|^{2},
$$

which implies for $|p| \rightarrow \infty$

$$
(\varphi, h(p) \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{1+a^{2} \beta_{0}^{2}} p^{2} \int_{\omega}|\varphi|^{2} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \alpha \rightarrow 0 .
$$
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which implies for $|p| \rightarrow \infty$

$$
(\varphi, h(p) \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{1+a^{2} \beta_{0}^{2}} p^{2} \int_{\omega}|\varphi|^{2} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \alpha \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Clearly, spectral threshold of $h(0)$ cannot be lower than that of $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}$. By [EKK'05] or lemma below the bound is sharp,

$$
E:=\inf \sigma(h(0))>\inf \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}\right),
$$

whenever $\omega$ is not rotationally symmetric
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## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

We will show that $E=\inf \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)$. Denote by $f$ the realvalued eigenfunction of $h(0)$ associated with $E=\epsilon_{1}(0)$,

$$
h(0) f=-\Delta_{D}^{\omega} f-\beta_{0}^{2} \partial_{\alpha}^{2} f=E f
$$

Lemma: (a) $f$ is strictly positive in $\omega$
(b) $\int_{\omega}\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{\omega}\left|\partial_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t>0$ if $\omega$ is not rotat. symmetric Proof: To prove $f>0$ we have to show that $\left\{e^{-t h(0)}: t \geq 0\right\}$ is positivity improving, i.e. $e^{-t h(0)} g>0$ for any $g \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$. Since $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}$ commutes with $\partial_{\alpha}^{2}$, we get

$$
e^{-t h(0)}=e^{t \Delta_{D}^{\omega}} e^{t \beta_{0}^{2} \partial_{\alpha}^{2}}
$$

Now $e^{t \beta_{0}^{2} \partial_{\alpha}^{2}}$ is positivity preserving for all $t>0$ and $e^{t \Delta_{D}^{\omega}}$ is positivity improving; together this proves the first claim

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

(b) Let $B$ be the biggest circle centred at the origin s.t. $B \subset \bar{\omega}$ and $B^{c} \neq \emptyset$ its complement in $\bar{\omega}$. Since $f$ satisfies Dirichlet b.c. on $\partial \omega$ and $f>0$ inside, $\left|\partial_{\alpha} f\right|>0$ is in a.e. point of $B^{c} \cap \partial \omega$, where $\partial \omega$ is not a part of a circle centred at the origin; by smoothness we find a positive-measure neighbourhood of $B^{c} \cap \partial \omega$ on which $\left|\partial_{\alpha} f\right|>0$. $\square$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

(b) Let $B$ be the biggest circle centred at the origin s.t. $B \subset \bar{\omega}$ and $B^{c} \neq \emptyset$ its complement in $\bar{\omega}$. Since $f$ satisfies Dirichlet b.c. on $\partial \omega$ and $f>0$ inside, $\left|\partial_{\alpha} f\right|>0$ is in a.e. point of $B^{c} \cap \partial \omega$, where $\partial \omega$ is not a part of a circle centred at the origin; by smoothness we find a positive-measure neighbourhood of $B^{c} \cap \partial \omega$ on which $\left|\partial_{\alpha} f\right|>0 . \quad \square$

Theorem [E.-Kovařík'05]: The spectrum of $H_{0}$ is purely absolutely continuous and covers the half-line $[E, \infty)$, where $E$ is the lowest eigenvalue of $h(0)$
Proof: In view of the first lemma $\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)$ is a.c. and contains the interval $[E, \infty)$; it remains to show that

$$
(-\infty, E) \cap \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)=\emptyset
$$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Since $f>0$ in $\omega$, we can decompose any $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$ as $\psi(s, t)=f(t) \varphi(s, t)$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}[\psi]-E\|\psi\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega}\left(f^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \varphi\right|^{2}-\left(\Delta_{D}^{\omega} f\right) f|\varphi|^{2}+f^{2}\left|\partial_{s} \varphi\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+\beta_{0} f \partial_{\alpha} f\left(\partial_{s} \bar{\varphi} \varphi+\bar{\varphi} \partial_{s} \varphi\right)+\beta_{0} f^{2}\left(\partial_{s} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi+\partial_{\alpha} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{s} \varphi\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\beta_{0}^{2} f^{2}\left|\partial_{\alpha} \varphi\right|^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha}^{2} f\right) f|\varphi|^{2}-E f^{2}|\varphi|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

## The spectrum of $H_{0}$, continued

Since $f>0$ in $\omega$, we can decompose any $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$ as $\psi(s, t)=f(t) \varphi(s, t)$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{0}[\psi]-E\|\psi\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega}\left(f^{2}\left|\nabla_{t} \varphi\right|^{2}-\left(\Delta_{D}^{\omega} f\right) f|\varphi|^{2}+f^{2}\left|\partial_{s} \varphi\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+\beta_{0} f \partial_{\alpha} f\left(\partial_{s} \bar{\varphi} \varphi+\bar{\varphi} \partial_{s} \varphi\right)+\beta_{0} f^{2}\left(\partial_{s} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi+\partial_{\alpha} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{s} \varphi\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\beta_{0}^{2} f^{2}\left|\partial_{\alpha} \varphi\right|^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha}^{2} f\right) f|\varphi|^{2}-E f^{2}|\varphi|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we find easily $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{s} \bar{\varphi} \varphi+\bar{\varphi} \partial_{s} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$ and $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega} f-\beta_{0}^{2} \partial_{\alpha}^{2} f-E f=0$; it allows us to conclude the proof,

$$
Q_{0}[\psi]-E\|\psi\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega} f^{2}\left(\left|\nabla_{t} \varphi\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{s} \varphi+\beta_{0} \varphi_{\tau}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \geq 0
$$

## Local twist perturbations

Look now what happens if the translation invariance of the tube is broken, velocity of the twisting being given by

$$
\dot{\theta}(s)=\beta_{0}-\beta(s),
$$

where $\beta(\cdot)$ is bounded, $\operatorname{supp} \beta \subset\left[-s_{0}, s_{0}\right]$ for some $s_{0}>0$

## Local twist perturbations

Look now what happens if the translation invariance of the tube is broken, velocity of the twisting being given by

$$
\dot{\theta}(s)=\beta_{0}-\beta(s),
$$

where $\beta(\cdot)$ is bounded, $\operatorname{supp} \beta \subset\left[-s_{0}, s_{0}\right]$ for some $s_{0}>0$
Put $\Omega_{\beta}:=\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$, and let $H_{\beta}$ on $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\beta}\right)$ be associated with

$$
Q_{\beta}[\psi]:=\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t
$$

defined on $D\left(Q_{\beta}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\beta}\right)$. Since supp $\beta$ is compact by assumption, it is straightforward to check that

$$
\sigma_{e s s}\left(H_{\beta}\right)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(H_{0}\right)=[E, \infty)
$$

## Eigenvalues by a slowed-down twist

Theorem [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that $\omega$ is not rotationally symmetric and that

$$
\int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s<0,
$$

then $H_{\beta}$ has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum

## Eigenvalues by a slowed-down twist

Theorem [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that $\omega$ is not rotationally symmetric and that

$$
\int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s<0,
$$

then $H_{\beta}$ has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum
Proof: We will construct a trial function from the threshold resonance corresponding to the bottom of the essential spectrum. Given $\delta>0$ we put $\Psi_{\delta}(s, t)=f(t) \varphi(s)$, where

$$
\varphi(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{\delta\left(s_{0}+s\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \leq-s_{0}, \\
1 & \text { if } & -s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{0}, \\
e^{-\delta\left(s-s_{0}\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \geq s_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proof, continued
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## Proof, continued

Obviously $\Psi_{\delta} \in D\left(Q_{\beta}\right)$. By a direct calculation we find
$Q_{\beta}\left[\Psi_{\delta}\right]-E\left\|\Psi_{\delta}\right\|^{2}=\delta\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+\left\|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s$
and furthermore, $\left\|\Psi_{\delta}\right\|^{2}=\left(\delta^{-1}+2 s_{0}\right)\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}$
Consequently, in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$
\frac{Q_{\beta}\left[\Psi_{\delta}\right]-E\left\|\Psi_{\delta}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\Psi_{\delta}\right\|^{2}}=\delta \frac{\left\|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}}{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{2}\right)
$$

By the lemma $\left\|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}>0$ so the I.h.s. of the last relation is negative for $\delta$ small enough.

## The critical case

The result can be extended to the critical case under somewhat stronger assumption on the regularity of $\dot{\theta}$. We also have to suppose that the twisting is "not fully reverted" by the perturbation.

## The critical case

The result can be extended to the critical case under somewhat stronger assumption on the regularity of $\dot{\theta}$. We also have to suppose that the twisting is "not fully reverted" by the perturbation.

Theorem [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that $\omega$ is not rotationally symmetric. In addition, let $\dot{\theta}(s)+\beta_{0}>0$ hold for $|s| \leq s_{0}$, and moreover, let $\ddot{\theta}$ exist being of the class $L^{2}\left(\left[-s_{0}, s_{0}\right]\right)$. If

$$
\int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s=0
$$

the operator $H_{\beta}$ has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum.

## The critical case, proof

Proof: We use the Goldstone-Jaffe trick, improving the trial function by a deformation in the central region,

$$
\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}(s, t):=f(t) \varphi_{\gamma}(s),
$$

where for a fixed $\gamma>0$ we put

$$
\varphi_{\gamma}(s)= \begin{cases}e^{\delta\left(s_{0}+s\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \leq-s_{0} \\ 1+\gamma\left(\beta_{0}-\dot{\theta}(s)\right) & \text { if } \quad-s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{0} \\ e^{-\delta\left(s-s_{0}\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \geq s_{0}\end{cases}
$$

## The critical case, proof

Proof: We use the Goldstone-Jaffe trick, improving the trial function by a deformation in the central region,

$$
\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}(s, t):=f(t) \varphi_{\gamma}(s),
$$

where for a fixed $\gamma>0$ we put

$$
\varphi_{\gamma}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{\delta\left(s_{0}+s\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \leq-s_{0} \\
1+\gamma\left(\beta_{0}-\dot{\theta}(s)\right) & \text { if } \quad-s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{0}, \\
e^{-\delta\left(s-s_{0}\right)} & \text { if } \quad s \geq s_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly as in the previous proof we check that

$$
Q_{\beta}\left[\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}\right]-E\left\|\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \omega}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}^{2}\left(f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right)+f^{2}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t
$$

## Proof, continued

Under given assumptions we get as $\gamma, \delta \rightarrow 0$
$\int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}} \varphi_{\gamma}^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s=-2 \gamma \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)-\beta_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)+\beta_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$
and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\delta+\gamma^{2} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}(\ddot{\theta}(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\delta)
$$

## Proof, continued

Under given assumptions we get as $\gamma, \delta \rightarrow 0$
$\int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}} \varphi_{\gamma}^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}(s)-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s=-2 \gamma \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)-\beta_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)+\beta_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$
and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\delta+\gamma^{2} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}(\ddot{\theta}(s))^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\mathcal{O}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\delta)
$$

Combining the last two equations we then get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q_{\beta}\left[\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}\right]-E\left\|\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\Psi_{\delta, \gamma}\right\|^{2}}= & -2 \gamma \delta \frac{\left\|f_{\tau}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}}{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)-\beta_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}(s)+\beta_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\delta \mathcal{O}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\gamma=\sqrt{\delta}$ we have then to take $\delta$ small enough
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## Summary and outlook

- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.
- Another question: how close one can get to the reversed twist to destroy the discrete spectrum?
- Also, what can be said about scattering due to local perturbations of the twist?
- Even the spectrum of $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega_{0}}$ raises questions, for instance, is is absolutely continuous?
- Also, under which conditions it has gaps? ...etc.
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## It remains to say:

## Happy birthday, Michael!

