#### Spectra of Laplacians in twisted tubes

Pavel Exner

in collaboration with Hynek Kovařík

exner@ujf.cas.cz

**Doppler Institute** 

for Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics

Prague



A conference in honor of Michael Solomyak; Rehovot, May 31, 2006 - p. 1/2

Geometrically induced spectrum in Dirichlet tubes: binding by bending, bubbles, etc.



- Geometrically induced spectrum in Dirichlet tubes: binding by bending, bubbles, etc.
- In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d ≥ 3, twisting has an opposite effect: a Hardy-type inequality for a localized torsion



- Geometrically induced spectrum in Dirichlet tubes: binding by bending, bubbles, etc.
- In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d ≥ 3, twisting has an opposite effect: a Hardy-type inequality for a localized torsion
- Extended twisting moves the essential spectrum: an example of a screw-shaped tube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$



- Geometrically induced spectrum in Dirichlet tubes: binding by bending, bubbles, etc.
- In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d ≥ 3, twisting has an opposite effect: a Hardy-type inequality for a localized torsion
- Extended twisting moves the essential spectrum: an example of a screw-shaped tube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$
- Main result: slowing down the twist gives rise to a non-empty discrete spectrum



- Geometrically induced spectrum in Dirichlet tubes: binding by bending, bubbles, etc.
- In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d ≥ 3, twisting has an opposite effect: a Hardy-type inequality for a localized torsion
- Extended twisting moves the essential spectrum: an example of a screw-shaped tube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$
- Main result: slowing down the twist gives rise to a non-empty discrete spectrum
- Summary and outlook



### **Geometry & spectrum in Dirichlet tubes**

Recall first some well-known facts:

Given an open, bounded and connected  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  consider *Dirichlet Laplacian*  $-\Delta_D^{\omega \times \mathbb{R}}$  in the *straight tube*  $\omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Trivially, the spectrum is a.c. and equal to  $[E_1, \infty)$  with the threshold  $E_1 := \inf \sigma(-\Delta_D^{\omega})$ 



### **Geometry & spectrum in Dirichlet tubes**

Recall first some well-known facts:

Given an open, bounded and connected  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  consider *Dirichlet Laplacian*  $-\Delta_D^{\omega \times \mathbb{R}}$  in the *straight tube*  $\omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Trivially, the spectrum is a.c. and equal to  $[E_1, \infty)$  with the threshold  $E_1 := \inf \sigma(-\Delta_D^{\omega})$ 

On the other hand, *local geometric perturbations* such as

- a sharp break or several breaks
- a smooth bend with asymptotically vanishing curvature
- a local tube protrusion

give rise to a *non-empty discrete spectrum*, i.e. isolated eigenvalues below  $E_1$ 



various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- In contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- in contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- in contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics
- thin-tube asymptotics



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- in contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics
- thin-tube asymptotics
- Lieb-Thirring-type inequalities



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- in contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics
- thin-tube asymptotics
- Lieb-Thirring-type inequalities
- many-body effects



- various existence criteria. In particular, a smoothly bent (and asymptotically straight) tube in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always binds
- in contrast, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need *Tang condition*:  $\omega$  rotates along the tube axis w.r.t. Frenet frame with *angular velocity*  $\dot{\theta}$  *equal to torsion (which is always true for a circular tube)*. Similarly for d > 3 [Chenaud et al. '05]
- a lot more is known: weak-coupling asymptotics
- thin-tube asymptotics
- Lieb-Thirring-type inequalities
- many-body effects
- in addition, there are results about scattering, resonances, periodically curved tubes, etc.



#### **Twisted straight tubes**

The Tang condition does not appear here by a chance. To see that, look at a *straight twisted* tube. We start with some preliminaries:



#### **Twisted straight tubes**

The Tang condition does not appear here by a chance. To see that, look at a *straight twisted* tube. We start with some preliminaries:

Given  $\omega$  described above and a differentiable  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , we use  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t := (t_2, t_3) \in \omega$  to define map  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R} \times \omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$  by

 $\mathcal{L}(s,t) = (s, t_2 \cos \theta(s) + t_3 \sin \theta(s), t_3 \cos \theta(s) - t_2 \sin \theta(s))$ 

The image  $\Omega := \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  is a tube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is twisted unless the function  $\theta$  is constant.

We are interested in Dirichlet Laplacian on  $L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e. the s-a operator associated with the closed quadratic form

$$Q[\psi] := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \,, \quad \forall \, \psi \in D(Q) = \mathcal{H}^1_0(\Omega)$$



#### An alternative expression

To any radial vector  $t \equiv (t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we associate the normal one,  $\tau(t) := (t_3, -t_2)$  and use it to introduce the angular-derivative operator

$$\partial_{\tau} := t_3 \,\partial_2 - t_2 \,\partial_3$$

Given a bounded  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the self-adjoint operator  $L_{\sigma}$  associated with the quadratic form

 $l_{\sigma}[\psi] := \|\partial_1 \psi - \sigma \partial_{\tau} \psi\|^2 + \|\partial_2 \psi\|^2 + \|\partial_3 \psi\|^2$ 

with  $\psi \in D(l_{\sigma}) := \mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ 



#### An alternative expression

To any radial vector  $t \equiv (t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we associate the normal one,  $\tau(t) := (t_3, -t_2)$  and use it to introduce the angular-derivative operator

$$\partial_{\tau} := t_3 \,\partial_2 - t_2 \,\partial_3$$

Given a bounded  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the self-adjoint operator  $L_{\sigma}$  associated with the quadratic form

 $l_{\sigma}[\psi] := \|\partial_1 \psi - \sigma \partial_{\tau} \psi\|^2 + \|\partial_2 \psi\|^2 + \|\partial_3 \psi\|^2$ 

with  $\psi \in D(l_{\sigma}) := \mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ 

Choosing now, in particular,  $\sigma = \dot{\theta}$  one can check by a straightforward calculation, using natural coordinate transformation, that this operator is unitarily equivalent to the Dirichlet Laplacian introduced above



# A Hardy-type inequality

If  $\sigma = 0$ , of course,  $L_0$  is Dirichlet Laplacian in the straight tube. Also the case of a tube with circular  $\omega$  centered at the origin is trivial. In all the other situations we have the following result:



# A Hardy-type inequality

If  $\sigma = 0$ , of course,  $L_0$  is Dirichlet Laplacian in the straight tube. Also the case of a tube with circular  $\omega$  centered at the origin is trivial. In all the other situations we have the following result:

**Theorem [Ekholm-Kovařík-Krejčiřík'05]:** Let  $\omega$  be a bounded open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is *not* rotationally invariant. Let  $\sigma$  be a nonzero compactly supported continuous function with bounded derivatives. Then for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^1_0(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$  and  $s_0$  such that  $\sigma(s_0) \neq 0$  we have

$$l_{\sigma}[\psi] - E_1 \|\psi\|^2 \ge c \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} \frac{|\psi(s,t)|^2}{1 + (s-s_0)^2} \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t$$

with c > 0 is independent of  $\psi$  but depending on  $s_0$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\omega$ .



# A Hardy-type inequality, continued

The inequality is proved in two steps: first one derives a "local" inequality for the operator  $L_{\sigma}$  over a finite piece of the tube where  $\sigma$  is nonzero; then the local result is "smeared out" by means of a classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality.



# A Hardy-type inequality, continued

The inequality is proved in two steps: first one derives a "local" inequality for the operator  $L_{\sigma}$  over a finite piece of the tube where  $\sigma$  is nonzero; then the local result is "smeared out" by means of a classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality.

**Corollary** [EHK'05]: Let  $\Omega$  be a tube of non-circular cross section which is locally twisted. Then the spectrum  $[E_1, \infty)$  of  $-\Delta_D^{\Omega}$  is stable under sufficiently small bends.

*Remark:* In a similar way one can check spectral stability under other weak enough (attractive) perturbations (potentials, protrusions)



#### Nonlocally twisted tubes

We assumed above that  $\dot{\theta}$  has a compact support so that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega}) = E_1 = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\mathbb{R} \times \omega})$ 



#### **Nonlocally twisted tubes**

We assumed above that  $\dot{\theta}$  has a compact support so that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega}) = E_1 = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\mathbb{R} \times \omega})$ 

This may not be true if the twist is infinitely extended; an example is *a screw-shaped tube* corresponding to a linear  $\theta$ : we fix a positive constant  $\beta_0$  and define  $\Omega_0$  by

 $\Omega_0 := \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \,,$ 

where

 $\mathcal{L}_0(s,t) := (s, t_2 \cos(\beta_0 s) + t_3 \sin(\beta_0 s), t_3 \cos(\beta_0 s) - t_2 \sin(\beta_0 s)).$ 



#### **Nonlocally twisted tubes**

We assumed above that  $\dot{\theta}$  has a compact support so that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega}) = E_1 = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\mathbb{R} \times \omega})$ 

This may not be true if the twist is infinitely extended; an example is *a screw-shaped tube* corresponding to a linear  $\theta$ : we fix a positive constant  $\beta_0$  and define  $\Omega_0$  by

 $\Omega_0 := \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R} \times \omega) \,,$ 

where

 $\mathcal{L}_0(s,t) := (s, t_2 \cos(\beta_0 s) + t_3 \sin(\beta_0 s), t_3 \cos(\beta_0 s) - t_2 \sin(\beta_0 s)).$ 

We will take  $\Omega_0$  as an unperturbed system and *conjecture* that a local *slowndown* of the twisting acts as *effective attractive interaction* which can give rise to bound states



#### **The spectrum of** $H_0$

We use again the unitary equivalence above, this time with uniformly rotating coordinate frame, in which  $H_0 := -\Delta_D^{\Omega_0}$ acts on its domain in  $L^2(\Omega_0)$  as

$$H_0 = -\partial_{t_2}^2 - \partial_{t_3}^2 + (-i\partial_s - i\beta_0 (t_2\partial_{t_3} - t_3\partial_{t_2}))^2$$



### **The spectrum of** $H_0$

We use again the unitary equivalence above, this time with uniformly rotating coordinate frame, in which  $H_0 := -\Delta_D^{\Omega_0}$ acts on its domain in  $L^2(\Omega_0)$  as

$$H_0 = -\partial_{t_2}^2 - \partial_{t_3}^2 + (-i\partial_s - i\beta_0 (t_2\partial_{t_3} - t_3\partial_{t_2}))^2$$

Since  $\beta_0$  is independent of *s* we are able to employ a partial Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}_s$  given by

$$(\mathcal{F}_s \psi)(p,t) = \hat{\psi}(p,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ips} \psi(s,t) \mathrm{d}s,$$

so for a suitably regular  $\psi$  we can rewrite the form as

$$Q_0[\hat{\psi}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} |\nabla_t \hat{\psi}|^2 + |i\,p\,\hat{\psi} + \beta_0\hat{\psi}'_{\tau}|^2\,\mathrm{d}p\,\mathrm{d}t$$



Since  $\mathcal{F}_s$  extends to a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ , the operator  $H_0$  is equivalent to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} h(p) dp$  with the fibre

$$h(p) = -\partial_{t_2}^2 - \partial_{t_3}^2 + (p - i\beta_0(t_2\partial_{t_3} - t_3\partial_{t_2}))^2$$

on  $L^2(\omega)$  subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions at  $\partial \omega$ .



Since  $\mathcal{F}_s$  extends to a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ , the operator  $H_0$  is equivalent to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} h(p) dp$  with the fibre

$$h(p) = -\partial_{t_2}^2 - \partial_{t_3}^2 + (p - i\beta_0(t_2\partial_{t_3} - t_3\partial_{t_2}))^2$$

on  $L^2(\omega)$  subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions at  $\partial \omega$ . Using polar coordinates  $(r, \alpha)$  on  $\omega$  we rewrite h(p) as

$$h(p) = -\Delta_D^{\omega} + (p - i\beta_0\partial_{\alpha})^2.$$

Since h(p) is a sum of  $-\Delta_D^{\omega}$  and a positive perturbation, by minimax principle its spectrum is purely discrete. Let us denote the eigenvalues of h(p) by  $\epsilon_n(p)$  and the respective eigenfunctions by  $\psi_n(p)$ , i.e.

$$h(p) \psi_n(p) = \epsilon_n(p) \psi_n(p)$$



**Lemma**:  $\epsilon_n(\cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a real-analytic function of p and

 $\lim_{p \to \pm \infty} \epsilon_n(p) \to \infty$ 



**Lemma**:  $\epsilon_n(\cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a real-analytic function of p and

 $\lim_{p \to \pm \infty} \epsilon_n(p) \to \infty$ 

Sketch of proof: The form associated with h(0) defined on  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega_0)$  is non-negative and closed, so h(0) is self-adjoint on its natural domain denoted as D(0). We formally expand the

$$h(p) = h(0) + p^2 - 2i \, p \,\beta_0 \,\partial_\alpha$$

It is easy to check that  $i \partial_{\alpha}$  is h(0)-bounded with the relative bound zero, so the domain of h(p) coincides with D(0) and  $h(\cdot)\phi$  is analytic for every  $\phi \in D(0)$ . Then by [Kato'66] we have a type A operator family, and consequently, all the  $\epsilon_n(\cdot)$ are real-analytic functions of p



Put next  $a := \sup_{t \in \omega} |t|$ . For any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\omega)$  we have

$$|2p\,\beta_0\,\bar{\varphi}\,\partial_\alpha\varphi| \le p^2\,\frac{\beta_0^2}{\beta_0^2 + a^{-2}}\,|\varphi|^2 + (\beta_0^2 + a^{-2})\,|\partial_\alpha\varphi|^2\,,$$

which implies for  $|p| \to \infty$ 

$$(\varphi, h(p)\varphi) \ge \frac{1}{1+a^2\beta_0^2} p^2 \int_{\omega} |\varphi|^2 r \,\mathrm{d}r \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \to 0 \,. \qquad \Box$$



Put next  $a := \sup_{t \in \omega} |t|$ . For any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\omega)$  we have

$$|2p\,\beta_0\,\bar{\varphi}\,\partial_\alpha\varphi| \le p^2\,\frac{\beta_0^2}{\beta_0^2 + a^{-2}}\,|\varphi|^2 + (\beta_0^2 + a^{-2})\,|\partial_\alpha\varphi|^2\,,$$

which implies for  $|p| \to \infty$ 

$$(\varphi, h(p)\varphi) \ge \frac{1}{1+a^2\beta_0^2} p^2 \int_{\omega} |\varphi|^2 r \,\mathrm{d}r \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \to 0.$$

Clearly, spectral threshold of h(0) cannot be lower than that of  $-\Delta_D^{\omega}$ . By [EKK'05] or lemma below the bound is sharp,

$$E := \inf \sigma(h(0)) > \inf \sigma(-\Delta_D^{\omega}) ,$$

whenever  $\omega$  is *not* rotationally symmetric



We will show that  $E = \inf \sigma(H_0)$ . Denote by f the realvalued eigenfunction of h(0) associated with  $E = \epsilon_1(0)$ ,

$$h(0)f = -\Delta_D^{\omega} f - \beta_0^2 \,\partial_{\alpha}^2 f = Ef$$



We will show that  $E = \inf \sigma(H_0)$ . Denote by f the real-valued eigenfunction of h(0) associated with  $E = \epsilon_1(0)$ ,

$$h(0)f = -\Delta_D^{\omega} f - \beta_0^2 \,\partial_{\alpha}^2 f = Ef$$

**Lemma**: (a) f is strictly positive in  $\omega$ 

(b)  $\int_{\omega} |f_{\tau}'|^2 dt = \int_{\omega} |\partial_{\alpha} f|^2 dt > 0$  if  $\omega$  is not rotat. symmetric



We will show that  $E = \inf \sigma(H_0)$ . Denote by f the real-valued eigenfunction of h(0) associated with  $E = \epsilon_1(0)$ ,

$$h(0)f = -\Delta_D^{\omega} f - \beta_0^2 \partial_{\alpha}^2 f = Ef$$

**Lemma**: (a) f is strictly positive in  $\omega$ 

(b)  $\int_{\omega} |f_{\tau}'|^2 dt = \int_{\omega} |\partial_{\alpha} f|^2 dt > 0$  if  $\omega$  is not rotat. symmetric *Proof:* To prove f > 0 we have to show that  $\{e^{-t h(0)} : t \ge 0\}$  is positivity improving, i.e.  $e^{-t h(0)}g > 0$  for any  $g \ge 0$  and  $t \ge 0$ . Since  $-\Delta_D^{\omega}$  commutes with  $\partial_{\alpha}^2$ , we get

$$e^{-t h(0)} = e^{t \Delta_D^{\omega}} e^{t \beta_0^2 \partial_\alpha^2}$$

Now  $e^{t \beta_0^2 \partial_{\alpha}^2}$  is positivity preserving for all t > 0 and  $e^{t \Delta_D^{\omega}}$  is positivity improving; together this proves the first claim

(b) Let *B* be the biggest circle centred at the origin s.t.  $B \subset \overline{\omega}$  and  $B^c \neq \emptyset$  its complement in  $\overline{\omega}$ . Since *f* satisfies Dirichlet b.c. on  $\partial \omega$  and f > 0 inside,  $|\partial_{\alpha} f| > 0$  is in a.e. point of  $B^c \cap \partial \omega$ , where  $\partial \omega$  is not a part of a circle centred at the origin; by smoothness we find a positive-measure neighbourhood of  $B^c \cap \partial \omega$  on which  $|\partial_{\alpha} f| > 0$ .  $\Box$ 



(b) Let *B* be the biggest circle centred at the origin s.t.  $B \subset \overline{\omega}$  and  $B^c \neq \emptyset$  its complement in  $\overline{\omega}$ . Since *f* satisfies Dirichlet b.c. on  $\partial \omega$  and f > 0 inside,  $|\partial_{\alpha} f| > 0$  is in a.e. point of  $B^c \cap \partial \omega$ , where  $\partial \omega$  is not a part of a circle centred at the origin; by smoothness we find a positive-measure neighbourhood of  $B^c \cap \partial \omega$  on which  $|\partial_{\alpha} f| > 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem [E.-Kovařík'05]:** The spectrum of  $H_0$  is purely absolutely continuous and covers the half-line  $[E, \infty)$ , where E is the lowest eigenvalue of h(0)

**Proof:** In view of the first lemma  $\sigma(H_0)$  is a.c. and contains the interval  $[E, \infty)$ ; it remains to show that

 $(-\infty, E) \cap \sigma(H_0) = \emptyset$ 



Since f > 0 in  $\omega$ , we can decompose any  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\omega)$  as  $\psi(s,t) = f(t)\varphi(s,t)$ . Integrating by parts we get

$$Q_{0}[\psi] - E \|\psi\|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} \left( f^{2} |\nabla_{t}\varphi|^{2} - (\Delta_{D}^{\omega}f)f|\varphi|^{2} + f^{2} |\partial_{s}\varphi|^{2} \right)$$
$$+\beta_{0} f \partial_{\alpha}f(\partial_{s}\bar{\varphi}\varphi + \bar{\varphi}\partial_{s}\varphi) + \beta_{0} f^{2}(\partial_{s}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi + \partial_{\alpha}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{s}\varphi)$$
$$+\beta_{0}^{2} f^{2} |\partial_{\alpha}\varphi|^{2} - \beta_{0}^{2} (\partial_{\alpha}^{2}f)f|\varphi|^{2} - E f^{2} |\varphi|^{2} ds dt$$



Since f > 0 in  $\omega$ , we can decompose any  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\omega)$  as  $\psi(s,t) = f(t)\varphi(s,t)$ . Integrating by parts we get

$$Q_{0}[\psi] - E \|\psi\|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} \left( f^{2} |\nabla_{t}\varphi|^{2} - (\Delta_{D}^{\omega}f)f|\varphi|^{2} + f^{2} |\partial_{s}\varphi|^{2} \right)$$
$$+\beta_{0} f \partial_{\alpha} f (\partial_{s}\bar{\varphi}\varphi + \bar{\varphi}\partial_{s}\varphi) + \beta_{0} f^{2} (\partial_{s}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi + \partial_{\alpha}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{s}\varphi)$$
$$+\beta_{0}^{2} f^{2} |\partial_{\alpha}\varphi|^{2} - \beta_{0}^{2} (\partial_{\alpha}^{2}f)f|\varphi|^{2} - E f^{2} |\varphi|^{2} ds dt$$

Furthermore, we find easily  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_s \bar{\varphi} \varphi + \bar{\varphi} \partial_s \varphi) \, ds = 0$  and  $-\Delta_D^{\omega} f - \beta_0^2 \partial_{\alpha}^2 f - E f = 0$ ; it allows us to conclude the proof,

$$Q_0[\psi] - E \|\psi\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} f^2 \left( |\nabla_t \varphi|^2 + |\partial_s \varphi + \beta_0 \varphi_\tau'|^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \ge 0$$



### Local twist perturbations

Look now what happens if the translation invariance of the tube is broken, velocity of the twisting being given by

 $\dot{\theta}(s) = \beta_0 - \beta(s) \,,$ 

where  $\beta(\cdot)$  is bounded,  $\operatorname{supp} \beta \subset [-s_0, s_0]$  for some  $s_0 > 0$ 



# Local twist perturbations

Look now what happens if the translation invariance of the tube is broken, velocity of the twisting being given by

 $\dot{\theta}(s) = \beta_0 - \beta(s) \,,$ 

where  $\beta(\cdot)$  is bounded,  $\operatorname{supp} \beta \subset [-s_0, s_0]$  for some  $s_0 > 0$ Put  $\Omega_{\beta} := \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R} \times \omega)$ , and let  $H_{\beta}$  on  $L^2(\Omega_{\beta})$  be associated with

$$Q_{\beta}[\psi] := \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla \psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t$$

defined on  $D(Q_\beta) = \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega_\beta)$ . Since  $\operatorname{supp} \beta$  is compact by assumption, it is straightforward to check that

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{\beta}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = [E, \infty)$$



### **Eigenvalues by a slowed-down twist**

**Theorem** [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that  $\omega$  is not rotationally symmetric and that

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left( \dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s < 0 \,,$$

then  $H_{\beta}$  has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum



### **Eigenvalues by a slowed-down twist**

**Theorem** [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that  $\omega$  is not rotationally symmetric and that

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left( \dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s < 0 \,,$$

then  $H_{\beta}$  has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum

*Proof:* We will construct a trial function from the threshold resonance corresponding to the bottom of the essential spectrum. Given  $\delta > 0$  we put  $\Psi_{\delta}(s,t) = f(t) \varphi(s)$ , where

$$\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} e^{\delta(s_0+s)} & \text{if } s \leq -s_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } -s_0 \leq s \leq s_0, \\ e^{-\delta(s-s_0)} & \text{if } s \geq s_0. \end{cases}$$



### **Proof, continued**

Obviously  $\Psi_{\delta} \in D(Q_{\beta})$ . By a direct calculation we find

$$Q_{\beta}[\Psi_{\delta}] - E \|\Psi_{\delta}\|^{2} = \delta \|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} + \|f_{\tau}'\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}} (\dot{\theta}^{2}(s) - \beta_{0}^{2}) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

and furthermore,  $\|\Psi_{\delta}\|^2 = (\delta^{-1} + 2s_0) \|f\|^2_{L^2(\omega)}$ 



### **Proof, continued**

Obviously  $\Psi_{\delta} \in D(Q_{\beta})$ . By a direct calculation we find

$$Q_{\beta}[\Psi_{\delta}] - E \|\Psi_{\delta}\|^{2} = \delta \|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} + \|f_{\tau}'\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} \int_{-s_{0}}^{s_{0}} (\dot{\theta}^{2}(s) - \beta_{0}^{2}) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

and furthermore,  $\|\Psi_{\delta}\|^2 = (\delta^{-1} + 2s_0) \|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ 

Consequently, in the limit  $\delta \to 0$  we get

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}[\Psi_{\delta}] - E \|\Psi_{\delta}\|^2}{\|\Psi_{\delta}\|^2} = \delta \frac{\|f_{\tau}'\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2} \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} (\dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2) \,\mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$$

By the lemma  $||f'_{\tau}||^2_{L^2(\omega)} > 0$  so the l.h.s. of the last relation is negative for  $\delta$  small enough.  $\Box$ 



#### The critical case

The result can be extended to the critical case under somewhat stronger assumption on the regularity of  $\dot{\theta}$ . We also have to suppose that the twisting is "not fully reverted" by the perturbation.



#### The critical case

The result can be extended to the critical case under somewhat stronger assumption on the regularity of  $\dot{\theta}$ . We also have to suppose that the twisting is "not fully reverted" by the perturbation.

**Theorem** [E.-Kovařík'05]: Assume that  $\omega$  is not rotationally symmetric. In addition, let  $\dot{\theta}(s) + \beta_0 > 0$  hold for  $|s| \le s_0$ , and moreover, let  $\ddot{\theta}$  exist being of the class  $L^2([-s_0, s_0])$ . If

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left( \dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s = 0,$$

the operator  $H_{\beta}$  has at least one eigenvalue of finite multiplicity below the threshold of the essential spectrum.



### The critical case, proof

*Proof:* We use the *Goldstone-Jaffe trick*, improving the trial function by a deformation in the central region,

 $\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}(s,t) := f(t) \,\varphi_{\gamma}(s) \,,$ 

where for a fixed  $\gamma > 0$  we put

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(s) = \begin{cases} e^{\delta(s_0+s)} & \text{if } s \leq -s_0, \\ 1+\gamma(\beta_0 - \dot{\theta}(s)) & \text{if } -s_0 \leq s \leq s_0, \\ e^{-\delta(s-s_0)} & \text{if } s \geq s_0. \end{cases}$$



### The critical case, proof

*Proof:* We use the *Goldstone-Jaffe trick*, improving the trial function by a deformation in the central region,

 $\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}(s,t) := f(t) \,\varphi_{\gamma}(s) \,,$ 

where for a fixed  $\gamma > 0$  we put

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(s) = \begin{cases} e^{\delta (s_0 + s)} & \text{if } s \leq -s_0, \\ 1 + \gamma \left(\beta_0 - \dot{\theta}(s)\right) & \text{if } -s_0 \leq s \leq s_0, \\ e^{-\delta (s - s_0)} & \text{if } s \geq s_0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly as in the previous proof we check that

$$Q_{\beta}[\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}] - E \|\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\omega} \left(\varphi_{\gamma}^2 (f_{\tau}')^2 \left(\dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2\right) + f^2 (\varphi_{\gamma}')^2\right) \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t$$



### **Proof, continued**

Under given assumptions we get as  $\gamma, \, \delta \to 0$ 

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \varphi_{\gamma}^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}s = -2\gamma \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left( \dot{\theta}(s) - \beta_0 \right)^2 \left( \dot{\theta}(s) + \beta_0 \right) \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$$
and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{\gamma}')^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = \delta + \gamma^2 \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left(\ddot{\theta}(s)\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$



## **Proof, continued**

Under given assumptions we get as  $\gamma, \, \delta \to 0$ 

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \varphi_{\gamma}^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2(s) - \beta_0^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}s = -2\gamma \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left( \dot{\theta}(s) - \beta_0 \right)^2 \left( \dot{\theta}(s) + \beta_0 \right) \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$$
and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_{\gamma}')^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = \delta + \gamma^2 \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left(\ddot{\theta}(s)\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$

Combining the last two equations we then get

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}[\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}] - E \|\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}\|^2}{\|\Psi_{\delta,\gamma}\|^2} = -2\gamma\delta \frac{\|f_{\tau}'\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\|f\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2} \int_{-s_0}^{s_0} \left(\dot{\theta}(s) - \beta_0\right)^2 \left(\dot{\theta}(s) + \beta_0\right) ddds$$
$$+\delta\mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2).$$

Setting  $\gamma = \sqrt{\delta}$  we have then to take  $\delta$  small enough



Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian



- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.



- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.
- Another question: how close one can get to the reversed twist to destroy the discrete spectrum?



- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.
- Another question: how close one can get to the reversed twist to destroy the discrete spectrum?
- Also, what can be said about scattering due to local perturbations of the twist?



- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.
- Another question: how close one can get to the reversed twist to destroy the discrete spectrum?
- Also, what can be said about scattering due to local perturbations of the twist?
- Even the spectrum of  $-\Delta_D^{\Omega_0}$  raises questions, for instance, is is *absolutely continuous?*



- Hence, a geometric perturbation of a screw-shaped non-circular tube consisting of a local slowdown of the twisting gives rise to non-empty discrete spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian
- Many questions remain open, e.g., one can ask about weak coupling behaviour of such bound states.
- Another question: how close one can get to the reversed twist to destroy the discrete spectrum?
- Also, what can be said about scattering due to local perturbations of the twist?
- Even the spectrum of  $-\Delta_D^{\Omega_0}$  raises questions, for instance, is is *absolutely continuous?*
- Also, under which conditions it has gaps? ...etc.

#### The talk was based on

 [EKK05] T. Ekholm, H. Kovařík, D. Krejčiřík: A Hardy inequality in twisted waveguides, math-ph/0512050
 [EK05] P.E., H. Kovařík: Spectrum of the Schrödinger operator in a perturbed periodically twisted tube, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 73 (2005), 183–192.

for more information see *http://www.ujf.cas.cz/~exner* 



#### The talk was based on

 [EKK05] T. Ekholm, H. Kovařík, D. Krejčiřík: A Hardy inequality in twisted waveguides, math-ph/0512050
 [EK05] P.E., H. Kovařík: Spectrum of the Schrödinger operator in a perturbed periodically twisted tube, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **73** (2005), 183–192.

for more information see *http://www.ujf.cas.cz/~exner* 

It remains to say:

# Happy birthday, Michael!

