

3. Compressed Sensing

- We assume that an unknown object $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is measured by linear measurements, i.e., we can work with $\langle a_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle a_m, x \rangle$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

In matrix notation $A = \begin{pmatrix} -a_1 & - \\ \vdots & - \\ -a_m & - \end{pmatrix}$ and we have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ and $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$ available.

- N might be huge but its internal information does not grow... we assume, that $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is sparse, i.e. that $\|x\|_0 = \#\{j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : x_j \neq 0\}$ is small... $\|x\|_0 \ll N$.
- The task of compressed sensing is to
 - design $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ and an algorithm $\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\|x\|_0 \leq s$ $\Delta(Ax)$ is very close (or equal) to x . We want $m = m(s, N)$ as small as possible.
- The "traditional" sensing assumes that
 - $m = N$, $a_i = e_i = i$ -th canonical vector ... $A = I$, $\Delta = I$
 - s plays no role
- We want $m \ll N$, actually $m \approx s$?!

Before we come to the modern part, we review the old stuff.

- Discrete Fourier transform

Let $F = (F(0), \dots, F(N-1)) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be given, then

$\hat{F} = (\hat{F}(0), \dots, \hat{F}(N-1)) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ given by

$$\hat{F}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} F(m) \cdot \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N} km\right)}_{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} km\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} km\right)} \quad \text{is the DFT of } F.$$

- Sometimes \hat{F} is identified with $\{\hat{F}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, which is N -periodic.

Theorem (Fast Fourier Transform): Let $w_N \in \mathbb{C}$ with $w = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N}\right)$ and $N = 2^m$ are given. Then $4 \cdot 2^m \cdot m = 4N \log_2(N) = O(N \log N)$ operations are enough to calculate \hat{F} .

Proof: Let $\#(M)$ denote the minimal number of operations needed to calculate the Fourier transform of $F \in \mathbb{C}^M$. Then

$$(\text{Claim}) \quad \#(2M) \leq 2\#(M) + 8M \quad \text{if } w_{2M} = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{2M}\right) \text{ is given.}$$

Then the Theorem follows by induction:

$$\bullet m=1, N=2^m: \#(2) = 4 \leq 8 \quad \dots \quad \hat{F}(0) = F(0) + F(1), \hat{F}(1) = F(0) - F(1)$$

$$\bullet m-1 \rightarrow M: N=2^{m-1}: \#(2N) \leq 2 \cdot \#(N) + 8N \leq 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 8 \cdot 2^{m-1} \\ = 8m \cdot 2^{m-1} = 4m2^m.$$

Proof of the Claim: • 2M operations for $w_{2M}^2, w_{2M}^3, \dots, w_{2M}^{2M-1}$

• $F_0(r) := F(2r)$, $F_1(r) := F(2r+1)$... even and odd part of F

$$0 \leq r \leq M-1$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{F}(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{2M-1} F(r) w_{2M}^{kr} = \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} F(2l) w_{2M}^{k(2l)} + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} F(2m+1) w_{2M}^{k(2m+1)}$$

$$0 \leq k \leq 2M-1$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} F_0(l) w_M^{kl} + w_{2M}^k \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} F_1(m) \underbrace{w_{2M}^{2km}}_{w_M^{km}}$$

$$= \hat{F}_0(k) + w_{2M}^k \hat{F}_1(k)$$

... here \hat{F}_0 is periodic

$$\hat{F}_0(k) = \hat{F}_0(k-M) \text{ for } k > M.$$

Brony's method for sparse recovery

$$\text{Let } F_N = \left(\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N} km\right) \right)_{k,m=0}^{N-1} \text{ be the Fourier matrix, i.e. } \hat{F} = F_N \cdot F$$

$$\hat{x} = F_N x .$$

Theorem: Let $0 \leq s \leq N/2$. Put $m = 2s$ and $A = \text{"first } 2s = m \text{ lines of } F_N"$,
 $\in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$.

Then there is fast (= polynomial) algorithm, which recovers every
 s -sparse $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from $y = Ax$.

Proof: • First, we describe the algorithm

• Input: $s, N, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2s}$, $y(j) = \hat{x}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x(k) \exp\left(-2\pi i \frac{jk}{N}\right), j = 0, \dots, 2s-1$

• Solve the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(s-1), y(s-2), \dots, y(0) \\ y(s), y(s-1), \dots, y(1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y(2s-2), \dots, y(s-1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1) \\ \alpha(2) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha(s) \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} y(s) \\ y(s+1) \\ \vdots \\ y(2s-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and obtain $\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(s)$. Put $\alpha(0) = 1, \alpha(k) = 0$ for $k > s$
 $\rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N$

- Put $S := (\text{supp } \hat{x}^v)^c$... the complements of the support of \hat{x}^v
 (the inverse Fourier transform of x)
 We show that $\#S \leq s$ and $\text{supp } x \subset S$.

- Then just solve (2): $y(j) = \sum_{k \in S} x(k) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N} j k\right), j = 0, 1, \dots, 2s-1$ for $x(k)$.

Remarks: • (1) ... $s \times s$, linear system, $O(s^3)$

• find $\text{supp } \hat{x}^v$... $O(N \log N)$

• (2) ... $s \times 2s$ system ... $O(s^3)$... $\Rightarrow O(s^3 + N \log N)$

unstable, non-robust

Proof of the correctness of the algorithm

- Put $\tilde{S} = \text{supp } x$, $\#\tilde{S} \leq s$... assumption on sparsity

We want to show that $S = (\text{supp } \hat{x}^v)^c \supset \tilde{S} = \text{supp } x$ for

every \tilde{z} with (1), $\tilde{z}(0) = 1$, $\tilde{z}(k) = 0$ for $k > s$.

Step 1: we show that (1) has at least one solution, and we
 show the theorem if this solution is unique.

$$p(t) := \frac{1}{N} \prod_{k \in \tilde{S}} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i \frac{k}{N} t} \right), t \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$$

$$p(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t \in \tilde{S} \dots \text{supp } p = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \setminus \tilde{S}.$$

$$\text{Hence } P \cdot x = \left(p(k) \cdot x(k) \right)_{k=0}^{N-1} \equiv 0 \dots \text{hence } \widehat{P} \cdot \widehat{x} = \widehat{P} \ast \widehat{x} = 0.$$

$$\text{Here } (x \ast w)(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} x(l) w(k-l) \mod N$$

We show that \hat{p} solves (1); $\hat{p}(0)=1$, $\hat{p}(k)=0$, $k>s$:

- $$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \hat{p}(0) &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} p(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \prod_{k \in \tilde{S}} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i \frac{k}{N}} \cdot e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{N}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum'_{S' \subset \tilde{S}} (-1)^{\#S'} \cdot \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{t}{N}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N} \sum'_{l \in S'} l\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum'_{S' \subset \tilde{S}} (-1)^{\#S'} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N} \sum'_{l \in S'} l\right) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{t}{N} \#S'\right)}_{=0 \dots \#S' \neq 0} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (-1)^0 \cdot 1 \cdot N = 1 \end{aligned}$$

... $\hat{p}(k)=0$ for $k>s$... in the same way ... supp $\hat{p} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$.

• From $\hat{p} * \hat{x} = 0$ we get

$$\hat{p} * \hat{x}(s) = 0 : \quad \hat{p}(0) \hat{x}(s) + \hat{p}(1) \hat{x}(s-1) + \dots + \hat{p}(s) \hat{x}(0) = 0$$

$$\hat{p} * \hat{x}(s+1) = 0 : \quad \hat{p}(0) \hat{x}(s+1) + \hat{p}(1) \hat{x}(s) + \dots + \hat{p}(s) \hat{x}(1) = 0$$

$$\hat{p} * \hat{x}(2s-1) = 0 : \quad \hat{p}(0) \hat{x}(2s-1) + \hat{p}(1) \hat{x}(2s-2) + \dots + \hat{p}(s) \hat{x}(s-1) = 0$$

... \hat{p} solves (1) ... (1) has (at least) one solution

• If this solution is unique $\Rightarrow \hat{p} = z$

$$S = (\text{supp } z^v)^c = (\text{supp } p)^c = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \setminus \tilde{S} = \tilde{S}.$$

Step 2: If (1) has more solutions:

- if z solves (1), $z(0)=1$, $z(k)=0$ for $k > s$, put $q = z^v$

$$\text{Then } \widehat{q} \cdot \widehat{x}(j) = (\widehat{q} * \widehat{x})(j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j=s, s+1, \dots, 2s-1 \\ = (z * x)(j)$$

- Then $q \cdot x$ is an s -sparse vector with a vanishing consecutive Fourier coef. $\xrightarrow{\text{LEMMA}} q \cdot x = 0$

$$\Rightarrow q(j) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \tilde{S}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{S} = \text{supp } x \cap \{j : q(j) = 0\} = \{j : z^v(j) = 0\} = (x \text{ supp } z^v)^c = S \\ \# \tilde{S} \leq s.$$

The more modern part of Compressed Sensing (in 2006)

- If A and y is given, the most natural idea is to look for

the most sparse $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $y = Ax$:

$$x^* = \arg \min \|x\|_0 \text{ s.t. } Ax = y$$

- Recall: We want to design A , such that if $y = Ax$ for some sparse x , then there is (fast?) algorithm, which recovers x from the input (A, y) .
- ℓ_0 -min. is not convex ... replace by ℓ_1 -minimization

$$(P_1) \quad x^* = \arg \min \|z\|_1 \text{ s.t. } Az = y \quad \dots (A, y) \text{ are inputs}$$

Theorem: • We say that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ has the Null Space Property of the order s if

(NSP_s)

$\ker A \setminus \{0\} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \#S \leq s : \|v_S\|_1 < \|v_{S^c}\|_1\}$

- Every s -sparse $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the unique solution of (P_1) with (A, y) , where $y = Ax$ if, and only if, A has (NSP_s) .

Proof: " \Rightarrow " We assume that every s -sparse x is uniquely found by (P_1) .

Let $v \in \ker A \setminus \{0\}$, $S \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\#S \leq s$. Then $0 = Av = Av_S + Av_{S^c}$

... i.e. $A(v_{S^c}) = Av_S$. But v_S is s -sparse \Rightarrow

$\|v_S\|_1 < \|z\|_1$ for every z with $Az = Av_S$... $\Rightarrow \|v_S\|_1 < \|v_{S^c}\|_1$

$\Rightarrow A$ has (NSP_s) .

" \Leftarrow ": We assume that A has (NSP_s) , $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\|x\|_1 \leq s$.

We have to show that if $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ has $Az = Ax$ & $\|z\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1$.

Put $v = x - z$, $S = \text{supp } x \dots Av = 0, \#S \leq s$
 $\quad \quad \quad v \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|x\|_1 &\leq \|x - z_S\|_1 + \|z_S\|_1 = \|v_S\|_1 + \|z_S\|_1 < \|v_S\|_1 + \|z_S\|_1 \\ &= \|z_S\|_1 + \|z_S\|_1 = \|z\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

■

Remarks: • NSP_s is defined in a simple language ... kern, $\|.\|_1, \dots$
 but it is actually difficult to verify if A has (NSP_s) .

- If A has NSP_s and $y = Ax$ for an s -sparse $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then there is an effective algorithm to find $x \dots l_1$ -minimization

Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)

Def: If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$, $1 \leq s \leq N$. Then the RIP-constant $\delta_s = \delta_s(A)$ of the order s is the smallest $\delta \geq 0$, such that

$$(1-\delta) \|x\|_2^2 \leq \|Ax\|_2^2 \leq (1+\delta) \|x\|_2^2 \text{ for every } s\text{-sparse } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$, $s \leq N/2$ and $\delta_{2s} < 1/3$. Then A has (NSP_s) .

Proof: Let $v \in \text{kern } A \setminus \{0\}$, $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $\#S \leq s$.

We show that $(*) \|w_S\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta_{2s}}{1-\delta_{2s}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|v\|_1$

$$\text{Then } \delta_{2s} \leq \delta_{2s} < 1/3 \dots \|w_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s} \|w_S\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_S\|_1 \dots 2\|w_S\|_1 \leq \|v\|_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|w_S\|_1 \leq \|w_{S^c}\|_1.$$

Proof of (*) ... it is enough to consider Sardhu indices
of s largest coordinates of v ... we assume that
 $S = \{1, \dots, s\}$

... otherwise $S = \{g(1), \dots, g(s)\}$ etc.

We set $S_0 = S = \{1, \dots, s\}$

$S_1 = \{s+1, \dots, 2s\}$... the s second largest entries of v

$S_2 = \{2s+1, \dots, 3s\}$

$$|v_1| \geq |v_2| \geq \dots$$

By $Av=0$ we have $Av_{S_0} = A(-v_{S_1} - v_{S_2} - \dots)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|Av_{S_0}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{\|Av_{S_0}\|_2^2}{1-\rho_s} = \frac{1}{1-\rho_s} \langle Av_{S_0}, A(-v_{S_1} - v_{S_2} - \dots) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\rho_s} \sum_{k \geq 1} \langle Av_{S_0}, Av_{S_k} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\|x\|_2, \|z\|_2 \leq 1$ and the supports of x and z are disjoint,
and $\|x\|_2 = \|z\|_2 = 1$

then $\|x \pm z\|_2^2 = 2$, $x \pm z$ are L_2 -sparse

$$\Rightarrow (1-\rho_{2s}) \cdot 2 \leq \|A(x \pm z)\|_2^2 \leq 2(1+\rho_{2s})$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle Ax, Az \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \|A(x+z)\|_2^2 - \|A(x-z)\|_2^2 \right| \leq \rho_{2s}.$$

If x, z are n -sparse, disjoint support & general norms: $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}$, $\tilde{z} = \frac{z}{\|z\|_2}$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle Ax, Az \rangle| \leq \rho_{2s} \cdot \|x\|_2 \cdot \|z\|_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|w_{S_0}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha_s} \sum_{k=1}^r |(A w_{S_0}, A(-v_{S_k}))| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha_s} \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_s \cdot \|w_{S_k}\|_2 \cdot \|w_{S_0}\|_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|w_{S_0}\|_2 \leq \frac{\alpha_s}{1-\alpha_s} \sum_{k=1}^r \|w_{S_k}\|_2$$

$$\text{Now } \|w_{S_k}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j \in S_k} (w_j)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (\beta \cdot \min_{i \in S_{k-1}} |w_i|^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\beta} \cdot \min_{i \in S_{k-1}} |w_i| \leq \frac{\|w_{S_{k-1}}\|_1}{\sqrt{\beta}}$$

$$\text{Finally } \|w_{S_0}\|_2 \leq \frac{\alpha_s}{1-\alpha_s} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \|w_{S_{k-1}}\|_1 = \frac{\alpha_s}{1-\alpha_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \|w\|_1 \dots \Rightarrow (*) \quad \square$$

Stability: • what if x is not exactly ρ -sparse but close to it
 $\tilde{C}_\rho(x) = \inf \{ \|x-y\|_1, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \text{ is } \rho\text{-sparse} \}$ is small..

Robustness: $y = Ax + \epsilon$, ϵ ... noise

• Robust Null Space Property: $0 < \rho < 1, \gamma > 0$

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall S \subseteq \Lambda: \|w_S\|_1 \leq \rho \|w_S\|_1 + \gamma \|w\|_2$$

• $(P_{1,2})$: $x^* = \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|z\|_1 \text{ s.t. } \|Az - y\|_2 \leq \gamma$

Theorem: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ with $\alpha_s < \alpha_s = 0.49$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and every $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\|Ax - y\|_2 \leq \gamma$, the solution x^* of $(P_{1,2})$ satisfies

$$\|x - x^*\|_1 \leq C \tilde{C}_\rho(x)_1 + D \sqrt{\beta} \gamma$$

$$\& \|x - x^*\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\beta}} \tilde{C}_\rho(x)_1 + D \gamma. \quad (C, D \text{ depend on } \alpha_s)$$

We want to construct matrices $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ with small σ_2 s and small m
 \Rightarrow random constructions.

Lemma • Let $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0; 1)$ (i.e., with density $p(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{\theta^2}{2})$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$).

Theo $E(e^{\lambda w^2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}}$ for $-\infty < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$.

• (2-stability of normal distribution)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $w_1, \dots, w_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0; 1)$ are indep.

Then $\langle \lambda, w \rangle = \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_m w_m \sim \mathcal{N}_2(0, \lambda \cdot \mathbb{I}_m)$

Exercises

Concentration of measure ... $\frac{w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2}{m}$ concentrates around 1 for large

Lemma: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $w_1, \dots, w_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0; 1)$ be independent. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2 \geq (1+\varepsilon)m) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}[\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3]\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2 \leq (1-\varepsilon)m) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}[\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3]\right).$$

Proof: 1. inequality, $\beta = 1+\varepsilon$

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2 \geq \beta m) = \mathbb{P}(\lambda [w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2] - \lambda \beta m \geq 0)$$

$\lambda > 0$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\exp\{\lambda(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2)\} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \beta m) \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E} e^{-\lambda \beta m} \exp(\lambda(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2))$$

$$= e^{-\lambda \beta m} \cdot (\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda w^2})^m = e^{-\lambda \beta m} \cdot (1-2\lambda)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \quad \dots \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{Optimize over } 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \dots \beta = \frac{1}{1-2\lambda}, \dots, 2\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \begin{matrix} < 1 \\ > 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} e^{-\beta m \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right)} \cdot \beta^m = \mathbb{E}^m \left(\frac{\beta-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} \ln \beta} = \mathbb{E}^m \cdot e^{\frac{-\varepsilon m}{2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \ln(1+\varepsilon)}$$

• Use $\ln(t/\epsilon) \leq t - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{3}$, $-1 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m\epsilon}{2} \leq \frac{m}{2} \left[\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{3} \right] \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{}}$$

• "RIP for one point on the sphere"

Theorem: Let $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}, \dots, w_{1N} \\ w_{m1}, \dots, w_{mN} \end{pmatrix}$ with w_{ij} 's from $N(0, 1)$, indep
(Gaussian matrix)

Let $\|x\|_2 = 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| > t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{3}\right]\right) \leq 2e^{-Cmt^2} \text{ for } 0 < t < 1 \text{ and some } C > 0.$$

Proof: $\mathbb{P}(|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| > t) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} x_j\right)^2 - m\right| > tu\right)$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^m w_i^2 - m\right| > tu\right) = \mathbb{P}(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2 \geq (1+t)u)$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}(w_1^2 + \dots + w_m^2 \leq (1-t)u) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t^3}{3}\right]\right) \dots C = \frac{1}{12}.$$

Remarks: • for general $x \dots \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2} : \mathbb{P}\left(|\|Ax\|_2^2 - \|x\|_2^2| > t\|x\|_2^2\right) \leq 2e^{-Cmt^2}.$

• One can prove the theorem also by rotational invariance of Gauss & $x=0$.

Net argument:

Lemma: Let $t > 0$. Then there exists a set $M \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$, such that i, $\# M \leq (1 + 2/t)^d$

ii, $\forall z \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \exists x \in M : \|x - z\|_2 \leq t$.

Exercise

Theorem: Let $N \geq m \geq s \geq 1$. Let $\sigma < \delta, \varepsilon < 1$ with

$$m \geq C\delta^{-2}(\ln(\frac{\varepsilon N}{\sigma}) + \ln(\frac{N}{\varepsilon})) \text{, where } C > 0 \text{ is a constant.}$$

Then the Gauss matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ has $P(\|A\|_2 \leq \delta) = 1 - \varepsilon$.

Proof: Step 1: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{supp}(z) \subset \{t_{i-1}, s\}, \|z\|_2 = 1\}$.

By net lemma ($t = \frac{1}{4}$), there is $M \subset \mathcal{Z}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} i, \#M &\leq 9^s & ii, \min_{x \in M} \|x - z\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that if $\|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1\| \leq \delta/2$ for all $x \in M$, then

$$\|\|Az\|_2^2 - 1\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

"bootstrap argument": Let $\gamma > 0$ be the smallest number with

$$\|\|Az\|_2^2 - 1\| \leq \gamma \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z} \dots \text{ we want } \gamma \leq \delta.$$

Then $\|\|Au\|_2^2 - \|u\|_2^2\| \leq \gamma \|u\|_2^2$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\text{supp } u \subset \{t_{i-1}, s\}$.

• Let $u, v \in \mathcal{Z}$: Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Au, Av \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle| &= \frac{1}{4} \left| \|\|A(u+v)\|_2^2 - \|A(u-v)\|_2^2 - (\|u+v\|_2^2 - \|u-v\|_2^2) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left| \|\|A(u+v)\|_2^2 - \|u+v\|_2^2\| + \frac{1}{4} \left| \|\|A(u-v)\|_2^2 - \|u-v\|_2^2\| \right. \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{4} \|u+v\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \|u-v\|_2^2 = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2) = \gamma \end{aligned}$$

• If $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\text{supp } u, \text{supp } v \subset \{t_{i-1}, s\}$:

$$|\langle Au, Av \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle| \leq \gamma \|u\|_2 \cdot \|v\|_2.$$

By triangle ineq: • $z \in \mathbb{Z} \dots \exists x \in M: \|z-x\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| &= |\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1 + \langle A(z+x), A(z-x) \rangle - \langle z+x, z-x \rangle| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1|}_{\leq \frac{\delta}{2}} + \underbrace{|\sqrt{\|z+x\|_2} \cdot \sqrt{\|z-x\|_2}|}_{\leq 2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on the left: $\delta \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \Rightarrow \delta \leq \delta$.

Step 2: The rest is an union bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\delta_s(A) > \delta) &\leq \sum'_{S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{1-N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\exists z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{supp } z \subset S, \|z\|_2 = 1, |\|Az\|_2^2 - 1| > \delta\right) \\ &\quad \#S = s \\ &\leq \binom{N}{s} \mathbb{P}\left(\exists z \in \mathbb{Z} : |\|Az\|_2^2 - 1| > \delta\right) \\ &\leq \binom{N}{s} \mathbb{P}\left(\exists x \in M : |\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| > \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \leq \binom{N}{s} \cdot 9^s \cdot 2^{-C'm\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise $\vdash \varepsilon$ if m has the condition from the theorem, C large enough. \blacksquare

- Usually (see before) $\delta = \frac{1}{3}$ is OK.

Optimality of m ?

- $m \geq s$ is surely necessary (even when we know the non-zero pos.)
 - $m = 2s$ of Brony is not stable
 - $m \sim \sqrt{N}$ is OK for Gauss & stable recovery
- Question: Is $m > s$ impossible for stable recovery?

Lemma: Let $s \leq N$. Then there exist $T_1, \dots, T_M \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

- i, $M \geq \left(\frac{N}{s}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}$
- ii, $\#T_i = s$ for all $i = 1, \dots, M$
- iii, $\#(T_i \cap T_j) \leq \frac{s}{2}$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof:

- Assume $s \leq N/4$... otherwise $M=1$

- Greedy alg.: Take T_1, T_2, \dots with (ii) and (iii), then we prove (i)
- Let $T \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ be arbitrary with $\#T = s$.

The number of sets T' with $\#(T \cap T') \geq \frac{s}{2}$ is

$$\sum_{j=\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}^s \binom{s}{j} \binom{N-s}{s-j} \leq 2^s \cdot \max_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \leq j \leq s} \binom{N-s}{s-j} = 2^s \binom{N-s}{s-\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} = 2^s \binom{N-s}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}.$$

\Rightarrow There is at least $\binom{N}{s} - 2^s \binom{N-s}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$ subsets of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ with s elements and $\geq \frac{s}{2}$ intersection with T .

If T_{i-1}, T_j with (ii) and (iii) are already chosen, we can choose

T_{j+1} if $\binom{N}{s} - j \cdot \binom{N-s}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \geq 0$. We stop at M , i.e.

$$\binom{N}{s} - M \binom{N-s}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M \geq \frac{\binom{N}{s}}{2^s \binom{N-s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}} \geq 2^{-s} \frac{\binom{N}{s}}{\binom{N-\lceil s/2 \rceil}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}} = 2^{-s} \cdot \frac{N!}{s!(N-s)!} \cdot \frac{(\lceil s/2 \rceil)! (N-\lceil s/2 \rceil)!(\lfloor s/2 \rfloor)!}{(N-\lceil s/2 \rceil)!}$$

$$= 2^{-s} \cdot \frac{N \cdot (N-1) \cdots (N-\lceil s/2 \rceil+1)}{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (\overbrace{N-\lceil s/2 \rceil+1}^{\lceil s/2 \rceil})} \geq 2^{-s} \cdot \left(\frac{N}{s}\right)^{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \geq 2^{-s} \left(\frac{N}{s}\right)^{s/2} = \left(\frac{N}{s}\right)^{s/2}.$$

Theorem: Let $s \leq m \leq N$ be integers, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ and let

$\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an arbitrary mapping with

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_2 \leq \frac{C \tilde{G}_s(x)_1}{\sqrt{s}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

$$\text{Then } m \geq C_s \ln\left(\frac{eN}{s}\right).$$

Proof: We may assume $C \geq 1$, $s = N/k$.

By Lemma: T_1, \dots, T_N ... put $x_i := X_{T_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$

$$\text{Then: } \|x_i\|_2 = 1, \|x_i - x_j\|_2 > 1, \|x_i\|_1 = \sqrt{s}.$$

$$\text{Put } \beta := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|z\|_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{s}}{4C} \text{ & } \|z\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \right\}.$$

Then $x_i \in \text{Int } \beta$. We claim that $A(x_i + \beta)$ are mutually disjoint.

Proof: $\exists i \neq j \exists z, z' \in \beta: A(x_i + z) = A(x_j + z') \dots \& A(A(x_i + z)) = A(A(x_j + z'))$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 1 < \|x_i - x_j\|_2 &= \left\| [x_i + z - \Delta(A(x_i + z))] - [x_j + z' - \Delta(A(x_j + z'))] - z + z' \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|x_i + z - \Delta(A(x_i + z))\|_2 + \|x_j + z' - \Delta(A(x_j + z'))\|_2 + \|z\|_2 + \|z'\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{C \tilde{G}_s(x_i + z)_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{C \tilde{G}_s(x_j + z')_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{x_i, x_j \text{ are } \text{ppro}}{\leq} \frac{C \|z\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{C \|z'\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $A(x_i + \beta) \subset A((4C+1)\beta)$ and we compare volume
 $\cdot d \leq m$ is the rank of A . $V = \text{vol}_d(A(\beta))$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^M \text{vol}(A(x_j + \beta))}_{\text{VI}} \leq \underbrace{\text{vol}((4C+1)A(\beta))}_{= (4C+1)^d \cdot V} = (4C+1)^m \cdot V$$

$$\text{VI} \text{ vol}(A(\beta))$$

$$\left(\frac{N}{4s}\right)^{d/2} \cdot V \Rightarrow \left(\frac{N}{4s}\right)^{d/2} \leq (4C+1)^m$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{2} \ln\left(\frac{N}{4s}\right) \leq m \ln(4C+1) \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{d}{2} \ln\left(\frac{N}{4s}\right) \leq m \ln(4C+1)}$$

$$d \leq \frac{N}{8}$$