

General concepts of Approximation theory

We have an unknown object (function, matrix, curve, ...)
and we have only a limited information about
this object ... few function values, few Fourier coefficients
We would like to recover this object as good as possible

- limited information
- quick algorithm
- small memory used
- small error

Example: $f \in L_2([0, 1])$, periodic

- We are allowed to choose $x_1, \dots, x_m$
and rec. algorithm $\Phi(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_m))$
- $\|f - \Phi(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_m))\|_2$ small

$$(*) \quad \inf_{x_1, \dots, x_m} \inf_{\Phi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow L_2} \sup_{\|f\|_2 \leq 1} \|f - \Phi(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_m))\|_2 \dots = 1$$

... sub-class! $\|f\|_X \leq 1$

Many different concepts are possible:

- $M = \{f \in X : f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_m) = 0\}$... choose $M \leq n$

$$(*) \geq \inf_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_m \\ \phi}} \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_X \leq 1 \\ f \in M}} \|f - \phi(0, \dots, 0)\|_2 \geq \inf_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_m \\ \phi}} \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_X \leq 1 \\ f \in M}} \|f\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2 &\leq \left\| \frac{f}{2} + \frac{f}{2} - \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \frac{\phi(0)}{2} \right\|_2 \leq \frac{\|f - \phi(0)\|_2}{2} + \frac{\|\phi(0) - (-f)\|_2}{2} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_X \leq 1 \\ f \in M}} \|f - \phi(0)\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

- ϕ is linear ... linear algorithms
- approximation from a given subspace (Galerkin methods).

2, General theory of s -numbers

There is more ways, how to measure compactness of an operator and how to approximate it.

The general theory goes under the name of s -numbers.

Definition: A rule $s: T \rightarrow \{s_m(T)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, which associates to every operator between two (quasi-) Banach spaces a scalar sequence, is said to be an s -scale if the following holds:

- i), $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \dots \geq 0$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$,
- ii), $s_{m+n-1}(S+T) \leq s_m(T) + s_n(S)$ for all $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$,
- iii), $s_m(T_1 T_0) \leq \|T_1\| \cdot s_m(T) \cdot \|T_0\|$ for all $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$
 $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_1)$,
- iv), if $\text{rank } T < m$, then $s_m(T) = 0$,
- v), $s_m(\text{id}: \ell_2^m \rightarrow \ell_2^m) = 1$.

Furthermore $s_m(T)$ is called the m -th s -number of T .

Definition: Let X, Y be (quasi-) Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

Then $a_m(T) := \inf \{\|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} : A \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), \text{rank } A < m\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$
 are called the approximation numbers of T .

Theorem: The approximation numbers for a p -function

Proof: i, is immediate ... $m=1, A=0$

ii, Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$:

$$\|S-A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)a_m(S)$$

$$\|T-B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)a_m(T)$$

Then $\|(S+T)-(A+B)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq \|S-A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} + \|T-B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\{a_m(S) + a_m(T)\}$
 & $\text{rank}(A+B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) \leq m-1 + m-1$.

iii, Again, $\varepsilon > 0$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$: $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$

$$\|T-A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)a_m(T), \quad \|R-B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)a_m(R)$$

$$\|R \circ T - (R \circ A - B \circ A + B \circ T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Z)} = \|(R-B) \circ (T-A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Z)}$$

$$\leq \|R-B\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)} \cdot \|T-A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 a_m(T) a_m(R)$$

$$\& \text{rank}[(R-B) \circ A + B \circ T] \leq \text{rank } A + \text{rank } B \leq m-1 + m-1$$

... We proved more than iii, : $a_{m+m-1}(R \circ T) \leq a_m(R) a_m(T)$.

is, simple; v) follows from the following lemma. □

Lemma: Let X be a (quasi-) Banach space with $\dim(X) \geq n$.

Then $a_n(\text{id}: X \rightarrow X) = 1$.

Proof: Let $a_n(\text{id}: X \rightarrow X) < 1$... Hence there is $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ with

$$\|\text{id} - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, X)} < 1 \& \text{rank } A < n.$$

Then the Neumann series of $A = \text{id} - (\text{id} - A)$ converges and shows that A must be invertible. Hence $\dim X - \text{rank } A < n$... □

-3-

Theorem: The approximation numbers yield the largest s -scale.

Proof: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$:

$$\|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) a_m(T), \text{ rank}(A) \leq m.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then for every } s\text{-function: } s_m(T) &\leq s_m(T - A + A) \leq \underbrace{s_m(A)}_{=0} + \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \\ &\leq \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) a_m(T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: Let $0 < p \leq \infty$, let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$.

Then $D_\sigma: \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p$ is again the diagonal operator

$$D_\sigma x = (\sigma_1 x_1, \sigma_2 x_2, \dots).$$

$$\text{Then } a_m(D_\sigma) = \sigma_m, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: 1, estimate from above: $m \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, $D_\sigma^{m-1} x = (\sigma_1 x_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} x_{m-1}, 0, \dots)$

$$\Rightarrow a_m(D_\sigma) \leq \|D_\sigma - D_\sigma^{m-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_p, \ell_p)} = \sigma_m.$$

2, estimate from below:

$$\text{define } D_\sigma^{(m)}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_p^m: D_\sigma^{(m)} x = (\sigma_1 x_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} x_{m-1}, \sigma_m x_m)$$

$$I_p^m: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_p^m: I_p^m(x) = x$$

$$J_m: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_p^m: J_m(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$P_m: \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p^m: P_m(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

$$\text{For } \sigma_m \neq 0: 1 = a_m(I_p^m) = a_m((D_\sigma^{(m)})^{-1} \circ D_\sigma^{(m)}) \leq \|D_\sigma^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^m)}^{-1} \cdot a_m(D_\sigma^{(m)})$$

$$= \sigma_m^{-1} \cdot a_m(D_\sigma^{(m)}) = \sigma_m^{-1} \cdot a_m(P_m \circ D_\sigma \circ J_m) \leq \sigma_m^{-1} \cdot \|P_m\| \cdot \|J_m\| \cdot a_m(D_\sigma) =$$

$$= \sigma_m^{-1} \cdot a_m(D_\sigma). \quad \square$$

Gelfand and Kolmogorov numbers

-4-

Definition: Let X, Y be two (quasi-) Banach spaces, let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

i, The m -th Gelfand number of T is defined as

$$c_m(T) = \inf \{ \|T \circ J_M^X\|_{\mathcal{L}(M, Y)} : M \subset X, \text{codim } M \leq m \},$$

where $J_M^X: M \rightarrow X$ is the canonical embedding of M into X .

ii, The m -th Kolmogorov number of T is defined as

$$d_m(T) = \inf \{ \|Q_N^Y \circ T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y/N)} : N \subset Y, \dim N \leq m \},$$

where $Q_N^Y: Y \rightarrow Y/N$ is the quotient map.

Remarks: i,

$$c_m(T) = \inf_{\substack{M \subset X \\ \text{codim } M \leq m}} \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|Tx\|_Y$$

... we are looking for a large (codim $M \leq m$) subspace of X , on which T is small.

ii, • Y/N ... quotient space ... space of cosets $\bar{y} = \{y - z : z \in N\}$

$$\|\bar{y}\|_{Y/N} = \inf \{ \|y - z\|_Y : z \in N \}. \quad \dots \text{(quasi-)norm}$$

$$\bullet Q_N^Y(y) = \bar{y}$$

iii, We can rewrite also $d_n(T)$:

$$d_n(T) = \inf_{\substack{N \subset Y \\ \dim N \leq n}} \|Q_N^Y \circ T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y/N)} = \inf_{\substack{N \subset Y \\ \dim N \leq n}} \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|Q_N^Y(Tx)\|_{Y/N}$$

$$= \inf_{\substack{N \subset Y \\ \dim N \leq n}} \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|(\overline{Tx})\|_{Y/N} = \inf_{\substack{N \subset Y \\ \dim N \leq n}} \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \inf_{z \in N} \|Tx - z\|_Y.$$

- Approximation numbers: Approximate Tx for every $x \in X$ in a linear way - by Ax , A is linear
- Kolmogorov numbers: Approximate Tx from an n -dim. subspace, possibly in a non-linear way.
- Gelfand numbers: Non-linear approximation based on n pieces of linear information.

Proposition: Let X, Y be two (quasi-)Banach spaces, let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then

$$c_n(T) \leq a_n(T), d_n(T) \leq a_n(T) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: 1, $d_n(T) \leq a_n(T)$... put $N = \text{range}(A)$
 $\dim N = \text{rank } A \leq n$ and

$$d_n(T) \leq \sup_{x \in B_X} \inf_{y \in N} \|Tx - y\|_Y \leq \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx - Ax\|_Y = \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) a_n(T).$$

2, $c_n(T) \leq a_n(T)$... $M = \ker A = \{x \in X : Ax = 0\}$. Then $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{codim } M \leq n \\ \dots \text{ Exercises} \end{array} \right.$

$$c_n(T) \leq \sup_{x \in \ker A, \|x\|_X \leq 1} \|Tx\|_Y = \sup_x \|Tx - Ax\|_Y \leq \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) a_n(T).$$

Lemma: Let X be a (quasi-)Banach space with $\dim(X) \geq m$.

Then $c_m(\text{id}: X \rightarrow X) = d_m(\text{id}: X \rightarrow X) = 1$.

Proof: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let X be a space with $\dim X \geq m$.

1, c_m : Let $M \subset X$ have $\text{codim}(M) < m$... M is non-empty. Then

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|\text{id}(x)\|_X = 1 \dots \text{holds for every } M: c_m(\text{id}) = \inf_M \dots \geq 1.$$

2, d_m : Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \subset X$ with $\dim N < m$. Then $N \neq X$ and

(Riesz's lemma) there exists $x_{N,\varepsilon} \in X$ with

$$\|x_{N,\varepsilon}\|_X = 1 \text{ and } \|x_{N,\varepsilon} - y\|_X \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \text{ for all } y \in N.$$

$$\text{Hence } d_m(T) \geq \inf_{\substack{N \subset X \\ \dim N}} \inf_{y \in N} \|\text{id}(x_{N,\varepsilon}) - y\|_X \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Theorem: Gelfand numbers and Kolmogorov numbers form an s -scale.

Proof: 1, Gelfand numbers:

i, if $m=1$, $\text{codim } M < 1 \Rightarrow M=X$

$$\text{and } C_1(T) = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx\|_Y = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}.$$

monotonicity $(C_j(T) \geq C_{j+1}(T))$ is clear.

ii, $\varepsilon > 0$: $\exists M_1, M_2 \subset X$: $\text{codim } M_1 < m$, $\text{codim } M_2 < m$

$$\|Sx\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)C_m(S)\|x\|_X, \quad \|Tx\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)C_m(T)\|x\|_X$$

$x \in M_1 \qquad x \in M_2$

$$x \in M_1 \cap M_2 : \text{codim}(M_1 \cap M_2) \leq m-1 + m-1$$

$$\& \| (S+T)x \|_Y \leq \| Sx \|_Y + \| Tx \|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon) \| x \|_X (C_m(S) + C_m(T)).$$

$$\text{iii, Similarly: } \begin{matrix} M_1, M_2 \subset Y \\ \subset X \end{matrix}, \text{codim } M_1 < m : \| Tx \|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon) C_m(T) \| x \|_X, x \in M_1, \\ \text{codim } M_2 < m : \| Ry \|_Z \leq (1+\varepsilon) C_m(R) \| y \|_Y, y \in M_2.$$

Then for all x 's with $x \in M_1$ & $Tx \in M_2$: $\dots x \in M_1 \cap T^{-1}(M_2)$

$$\| R(Tx) \|_Z \leq (1+\varepsilon) C_m(R) \| Tx \|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 C_m(R) C_m(T) \| x \|_X$$

$$\dots \text{codim} [M_1 \cap T^{-1}(M_2)] < m + m - 1.$$

i), if $\text{rank } T < m$, then $\text{codim} \underbrace{\text{ker } T}_{M} < m$ & $T|_Y \equiv 0 \dots C_m(T) = 0.$

i), follows from the lemma before.

2. Kolmogorov numbers

$$\text{i, } m=1: \dim N = 0 \dots N = \{0\} \subset Y, \text{ hence } d_1(T) = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx - 0\|_Y = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$$

monotonicity ($d_j(T) \geq d_{j+1}(T)$) is clear

$$\text{ii, } N_1, N_2 \subset Y : \dim N_1 < m, \dim N_2 < m$$

$$\varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall x \in X : \exists y_1 \in N_1 : \|Sx - y_1\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon) d_m(S) \|x\|_X$$

$$\exists y_2 \in N_2 : \|Tx - y_2\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon) d_m(T) \|x\|_X$$

$$\dots \| (S+T)x - (y_1 + y_2) \|_Y \leq \|Sx - y_1\|_Y + \|Tx - y_2\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|x\|_X (d_m(S) + d_m(T))$$

iii, follows similarly:

-8

$\varepsilon > 0$, $N_1 \subset Y$, $\dim N_1 < m$, $\dim N_2 < m$

$N_2 \subset Z$

$$\forall x \in X: \exists \bar{y} \in N_1: \|Tx - \bar{y}\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)d_m(T) \cdot \|x\|_X,$$

$$\forall y \in Y: \exists z \in N_2: \|Ry - z\|_Z \leq (1+\varepsilon)d_m(R) \cdot \|y\|_Y,$$

... Take $x \in X$... find $\bar{y}$ to Tx and then z to $R(Tx - \bar{y})$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(Tx) - R(\bar{y}) - z\|_Z &= \|R(Tx - \bar{y}) - z\|_Z \leq (1+\varepsilon)d_m(R) \|Tx - \bar{y}\|_Y \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)d_m(R)d_m(T) \cdot \|x\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $R(\bar{y}) + z \in R(N_1) + N_2$... subspace with $\dim \leq (m-1) + (m-1)$.

iv, For $\text{rank}(T) < m$, take $N = T(X)$, $\dim N < m$ and $y = Tx \dots d_m(T) = 0$.

is from lemma before

Theorem: Let X, Y be Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then T is compact if and only if $c_m(T) \rightarrow 0$ and if and only if $d_m(T) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: 1, Gelfand numbers, T compact

$$\bullet \forall \varepsilon > 0: T(B_X) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^J (y_j + \varepsilon B_Y) \text{ for some } J \text{ and } y_1, \dots, y_J \in Y$$

• By Hahn-Banach theorem, there are $\beta_j \in Y'$ with

$$|\beta_j(y_j)| = \|y_j\|_Y \text{ and } \|\beta_j\|_{Y'} = 1, j = 1, \dots, J$$

• Define $\alpha_j \in X'$ by $\alpha_j(x) = \beta_j(T(x))$, $j = 1, \dots, J$; $M := \{x \in X: \alpha_j(x) = 0 \text{ for all } j's\}$

• Let $x \in M$ with $\|x\|_X \leq 1$ and $Tx \in y_j + \varepsilon B_Y$ for some j :

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_Y &\leq \|Tx - y_j\|_Y + \|y_j\|_Y \leq \varepsilon + |\beta_j(y_j)| \leq \varepsilon + \underbrace{|\beta_j(Tx - y_j)|}_{=0} + |\beta_j(Tx)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \|\beta_j\|_{Y'} \cdot \|Tx - y_j\|_Y \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2, $\epsilon_m \rightarrow 0$

- For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a MCCX with $\text{codim } M = J < +\infty$ such that $x \in M \Rightarrow \|Tx\|_Y \leq \epsilon \|x\|_X$
- We can write M as $M = \bigcap_{j=1}^J \ker \alpha_j = \{x \in X : \alpha_1(x) = \dots = \alpha_J(x) = 0\}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_J \in X'$
- We show that T' is compact (and, therefore, T is also compact).
- Let $\beta \in B_{Y'}$... then (by Hahn-Banach theorem), there is $\theta \in X'$ with

$\theta(x) = (T'\beta)(x) \text{ for } x \in M \text{ and}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{T} & Y \\ X' & \xleftarrow{T'} & Y' \end{array}$$
 $(T'\beta)(x) = \beta(Tx)$

$$\|\theta\|_{X'} = \sup_{\substack{x \in B_X \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} |\theta(x)| = \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} |\theta(x)| = \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} |(T'\beta)(x)|$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} |\beta(Tx)| \leq \|\beta\|_{Y'} \cdot \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|Tx\|_Y \leq \epsilon.$$
- Define $\tilde{M} = \text{Lin}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_J) \subset X'$. As $(\theta - T'\beta)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$, (i.e. $M \subset \ker(\theta - T'\beta)$) we have $\theta - T'\beta \in \tilde{M}$... hence $T'\beta = (T'\beta - \theta) + \theta \in \tilde{M} + \epsilon B_{X'}$.
... this holds for every $\beta \in B_{Y'}$... therefore $T'(B_{Y'}) \subset \tilde{M} + \epsilon B_{X'}$.
and (of course) $T'(B_{Y'}) \subset \|T'\| \cdot B_{X'}$
- The set $(\|T'\| \cdot B_{X'}) \cap \tilde{M}$ is a bounded set in a finite-dimensional subspace ... finite ϵ -covering $\Rightarrow$ finite 2ϵ -covering of $T'(B_{Y'})$.

3, Kolmogorov numbers, Compact

$$\varepsilon > 0, T(B_X) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^J (y_j + \varepsilon B_Y) \text{ for some } J \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } y_1, \dots, y_J \in Y$$

$$\text{Put } N := \text{Lin}\{y_1, \dots, y_J\}.$$

$$\text{Then } d_{J+1}(T) = \sup_{x \in B_X} \inf_{y \in N} \|Tx - y\|_Y \leq \sup_{x \in B_X} \inf_{j=1, \dots, J} \|Tx - y_j\|_Y \leq \varepsilon.$$

4, $d_n(T) \rightarrow 0$

$$\varepsilon > 0: \exists N \subset Y \text{ with finite dimension: } \sup_{x \in B_X} \inf_{y \in N} \|Tx - y\|_Y \leq \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow T(B_X) \subset N + \varepsilon B_Y$$

$$\text{and } \dots T(B_X) \subset \|T\| \cdot B_Y$$

... finite ε -covering of $(\|T\| + \varepsilon) \cdot B_Y \cap N$... and 2ε -covering of $T(B_X)$. $\square$

Theorem: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, where X and Y are (quasi-)Banach spaces.

i, If X is a Hilbert space, then $c_m(T) = a_m(T)$

ii, If Y is a Hilbert space, then $d_m(T) = a_m(T)$

iii, If X and Y are Hilbert spaces, then $c_m(T) = d_m(T) = a_m(T)$.

Proof: iii, follows from i, and ii,

i, Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $M \subset X$ with $\text{codim } M < m$ and

$$\forall x \in M: \|Tx\|_Y \leq (1 + \varepsilon) c_m(T) \|x\|_X.$$

Put $M^\perp = \{y \in X: \langle x, y \rangle_X = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$ the orthogonal complement of M

$P_{M^\perp}$... the orthogonal projection onto $M^\perp$; $A = T \circ P_{M^\perp}$

Then $\dim M^\perp < m$, $\text{rank } A < m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(T) &\leq \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|Tx - Ax\|_Y = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx - T(P_{M^\perp}x)\|_Y \\ &= \sup_{x \in B_X} \|T(\underbrace{x - P_{M^\perp}x}_{= P_M x})\|_Y \leq \sup_{\substack{x \in B_X \\ \|P_M x\|_X \leq \|x\|_X \leq 1}} \|x - P_{M^\perp}x\|_X \cdot (1+\varepsilon)C_m(T) \leq (1+\varepsilon)C_m(T). \end{aligned}$$

ii, Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \subset Y$, $\dim N < m$:

$$\forall x \in X: \inf_{y \in N} \|Tx - y\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)\alpha_m(T) \cdot \|x\|_X.$$

$$\bullet A = P_N T: \alpha_m(T) \leq \|T - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx - Ax\|_Y = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx - P_N(Tx)\|_Y$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in B_X} \inf_{y \in N} \|Tx - y\|_Y \leq (1+\varepsilon)\alpha_m(T). \quad \square$$

To compute/estimate s -numbers for given operators might be difficult!

• Lemma 1: Let N be a subspace of ℓ_∞^m with $\dim N < m$.

Then there exists $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in N$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$

and $\#\{k: |x_k| = 1\} \geq m - \dim N + 1$.

Proof: • The set $B_{\ell_\infty^m} \cap N$ is convex

• Let $x \in \underbrace{B_{\ell_\infty^m} \cap N}_K$ be an extreme point

(If $x = \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $x_1, x_2 \in K$, then $x_1 = x_2 = x$)

Krein-Milman: a convex set is a closed convex hull of its extreme points

• $I := \{k: |x_k| = 1\}$, $M := \{y \in \ell_\infty^m: y_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \in I\}$

• $\#I + \dim M = m$. If $\#I \leq m - m$, then $\dim M \geq m$
 $\Rightarrow M \cap N \neq \{0\}$... there is $y \in M \cap N$, $\|y\|_\infty = 1$.

... y is in N , $y_k = 0$ if $|x_k| = 1$ $x \neq \sigma y \in K = B_{\ell_\infty^m} \cap N$

... x is not an extreme point

$\delta = 1 - \max\{|x_k|: k \notin I\} > 0$.

◻

Lemma 2: Let $0 < q < p \leq \infty$ and $|x_{m+1}| = \min(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|)$, then

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} |x_j|^q\right)^{1/q}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^q\right)^{1/q}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}}.$$

Proof: Let $\alpha = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}$; $\beta = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^q\right)^{1/q}$.

As $p > q$: $\left|\frac{x_j}{|x_{m+1}|}\right|^q \leq \left|\frac{x_j}{|x_{m+1}|}\right|^p$

... $x_{m+1} = 0$ trivial

... sum up over $j=1, \dots, m$: $\left(\frac{\beta}{|x_{m+1}|}\right)^q \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{|x_{m+1}|}\right)^p$

and $\left\{1 + \left(\frac{\beta}{|x_{m+1}|}\right)^q\right\}^{p/q} \geq 1 + \left(\frac{\beta}{|x_{m+1}|}\right)^q \geq 1 + \left(\frac{|x_{m+1}|}{\alpha}\right)^p$

Finally $LHS = \frac{(\beta^q + |x_{m+1}|^q)^{1/q}}{(\alpha^p + |x_{m+1}|^p)^{1/p}} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{(1 + (|x_{m+1}|/\beta)^q)^{1/q}}{(1 + (|x_{m+1}|/\alpha)^p)^{1/p}} \geq \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$

$\underbrace{\geq 1.}$ □

Theorem: Let $0 < q < p \leq \infty$. Then

$$a_m(\text{id}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_q^m) = c_m(\text{id}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_q^m) = (m-m+1)^{1/q - 1/p}.$$

If $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$, then also

$$d_m(\text{id}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_q^m) = (m-m+1)^{1/q - 1/p}.$$

Proof: Let $P_{m-1}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_q^m$, $P_{m-1}x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

-14-

Then

$$C_m(\text{id}) \leq c_m(\text{id}) \leq \| \text{Id} - P_{m-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^m, \ell_q^m)} = (m-m+1)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}$$

(holds also for d_m)

- Let $M \subset \ell_p^m$ be a subspace with codim $M \leq m$. By Lemma 1, there is $x \in M$ with $\|x\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\#\{k: |x_k| = 1\} \geq m-m+1$.

$$\text{Then } \|\text{id}: M \rightarrow \ell_q^m\| \geq \frac{\|x\|_q}{\|x\|_p} = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{j \in I} |x_j|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\left(\sum_{j \in I} |x_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \geq (m-m+1)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$$\text{Hence } C_m(\text{id}) \geq (m-m+1)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

- The lower bound for d_m follows by duality! ... ? Direct proof?

$$(m-m+1)^{\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{1}{q'}} \leq C_m(\text{id}: \ell_{q'}^m \rightarrow \ell_{p'}^m) = \inf_{\substack{M \subset \mathbb{R}^m \\ \text{codim } M \leq m}} \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\|_{q'} \leq 1}} \|x\|_{p'}$$

$$= \inf_M \sup_x \sup_{\|y\|_p \leq 1} |\langle y, x \rangle| = \inf_{\substack{N=M^\perp \\ \text{codim } M \leq m}} \sup_{\|y\|_p \leq 1} \sup_{\substack{x \in N^\perp \\ \|x\|_{q'} \leq 1}} |\langle y, x \rangle|$$

$$\text{Let } x \in N^\perp, \|x\|_{q'} \leq 1, z \in N \dots |\langle y, x \rangle| = |\langle y - z, x \rangle| \leq \|y - z\|_q \cdot \|x\|_{q'}$$

$$\dots |\langle y, x \rangle| \leq \inf_{z \in N} \|y - z\|_q \dots \leq \inf_{\substack{N \subset \mathbb{R}^m \\ \text{dim } N \leq m}} \sup_{\|y\|_p \leq 1} \inf_{z \in N} \|y - z\|_q = d_m(\text{id}: \ell_p^m \rightarrow \ell_q^m).$$

Next, we study $l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty$...

• $a_m(\text{id}: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty) = 1$ for $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$

• estimate from above: $a_m(\text{id}) \leq \|\text{id}\| = 1 \dots \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$.

• estimate from below: consider any $A: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty$ with finite rank. Then A is compact:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, y_1, \dots, y_N \in l_\infty : A(B_{l_1}) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N (y_j + \varepsilon B_{l_\infty})$$

$y_1, \dots, y_N$ are sequences converging to zero

$\dots \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : |y_{jm}| < \varepsilon$ for $j=1, \dots, N$

And $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : \|Ae_m - y_j\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{id} - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(l_1, l_\infty)} &\geq \|\text{id} - Ae_m\|_\infty \geq |1 - (Ae_m)_m| \geq |1 - y_{jm}| - |y_{jm} - (Ae_m)_m| \\ &\geq 1 - \varepsilon - \varepsilon = 1 - 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\text{id} - A\| \geq 1 \text{ \& } a_m(\text{id}: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty) = 1.$$

• $a_m(\text{id}: l_1 \rightarrow l_\infty) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $m=2, 3, \dots$

• let $A_0 y = \frac{e}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i$, where $e = (1, 1, \dots)$... rank $A_0 = 1$

$$\text{and } \|\text{id} - A_0\|_{\mathcal{L}(l_1, l_\infty)} : \geq \|(\text{id} - A)e_1\|_\infty = \|(1, 0, 0, \dots) - (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots)\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\leq \|(\text{id} - A_0)x\|_\infty = \|(x_1, x_2, \dots) - (1, 1, \dots) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j / 2\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\dots |x_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} x_p| = \left| \frac{x_j}{2} - \sum_{p \neq j} x_p / 2 \right| \leq \|x\|_1 / 2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

• estimate from below:

suppose that there is $A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_\infty)$ with finite rank,
such that $\|id - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_\infty)} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |(Ae_j - e_j)_k| < \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|Ae_j - Ae_k\|_\infty &\geq |(Ae_j)_k - (Ae_k)_k| = |1 - (Ae_j)_k - [1 - (Ae_k)_k]| \\ &\geq 1 - |(Ae_j)_k| - |1 - (Ae_k)_k| \geq 1 - 2\|id - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_\infty)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

... A is not compact ... contradiction.

Theorem: $\alpha_m(id: \ell_1^m \rightarrow \ell_\infty^m) \leq 3 \left[\frac{\log_2(m+1)}{m} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, m=1, \dots, m.$

Proof: • Khintchine inequalities:

Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$, then there are $A_p, B_p > 0$ with

$$A_p \cdot \|a\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^m} |\langle a, \epsilon \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq B_p \cdot \|a\|_2$$

... without proof, $B_p \leq ([p/2] + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

• There are $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \ell_2^m$ with $\|x_i\|_2 = 1$ for all $i=1, \dots, m$

and $|\langle x_i, x_j \rangle| \leq 2 \left[\frac{\log_2 m}{m} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $i \neq j$... nearly orthogonal vectors

... • $m \geq m$... orth. family

• $m \geq m$ & m already proven ... induction!

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{e \in \{-1, +1\}^m} |\langle x_i, e \rangle|^p \leq B_p^p \cdot m \cdot 2^m$$

... there exists some $e \in \{-1, +1\}^m$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m |\langle x_i, e \rangle|^p \leq B_p^p m$.

$$x_{m+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} e, \dots \|x_{m+1}\|_2 = 1$$

$$|\langle x_i, x_{m+1} \rangle| \leq B_p \cdot m^{1/p} \cdot m^{-1/2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Choosing $p := \log_2 m$: $|\langle x_i, x_{m+1} \rangle| \leq 2 \left[\frac{\log_2 m}{m} \right]^{1/2}$.

• For $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \ell_2^m$ as above, put $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$, $A_{ij} = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$

$$a_{m+1}(\text{id} : \ell_1^m \rightarrow \ell_\infty^m) \leq \| \text{id} - A \|_{\ell_1^m, \ell_\infty^m} = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq m} \| (\text{id} - A) e_k \|_\infty$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq m} |A_{j,k}| \leq 2 \left[\frac{\log_2 m}{m} \right]^{1/2} \leq 3 \left(\frac{\log(m+1)}{m+1} \right)^{1/2}.$$